arXiv:1701.05919v1 [math.DG] 20 Jan 2017

Fractional Yamabe problem on locally flat conformal infinities of Poincaré-Einstein manifolds

Martin MAYER[a], Cheikh Birahim NDIAYE[a,b]

[a] Mathematisches Institut der Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen,
Arndtstrasse 2, D-35392 Giessen, Germany.

[b] Fachbereich Mathematik der Eberhard-Karls-Universität Tübingen,
Auf der Morgenstelle 10, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany.

Abstract

We study, in this paper, the fractional Yamabe problem introduced by Gonzalez-Qing[30] on the conformal infinity $(M^n,\ [h])$ of a Poincaré-Einstein manifold $(X^{n+1},\ g^+)$ with either $n = 2$ or $n \geq 3$ and $(M^n,\ [h])$ is locally flat - namely (M, h) is locally conformally flat. However, as for the classical Yamabe problem, because of the involved quantization phenomena, the variational analysis of the fractional one exhibits also a local situation and a global one. Furthermore the latter global situation includes the case of conformal infinites of Poincaré-Einstein manifolds of dimension either 2 or of dimension greater than 2 and which are locally flat, and hence the minimizing technique of Aubin[2]-Schoen[43] in that case clearly requires an analogue of the Positive Mass theorem of Schoen-Yau[44] which is not known to hold. Using the works of Caffarelli-Sylvestre[11], Chang-Gonzalez[15], Gonzalez-Qing[30], and new sharp estimates of the Caffarelli-Sylvestre[11] extension of the fractional standard bubbles,which are derived via a suitable interaction interpretation of them rather than Fourier transform, combined with the algebraic topological argument of Bahri-Coron[6], we bypass the latter Positive Mass issue and show that any conformal infinity of a Poincaré-Einstein manifold of dimension either $n = 2$ or of dimension $n \geq 3$ and which is locally flat admits a Riemannian metric of constant fractional scalar curvature. As a byproduct of our arguments, we derive new sharp expansions of the involved Poisson kernel and Green's functions near their singularities, hence answering the first part of the conjecture of Kim-Musso-Wei[34].

Key Words: Fractional scalar curvature, Poincaré-Einstein manifolds, Variational methods, Algebraic topological argument, Bubbles, Barycenter spaces.

AMS subject classification: 53C21, 35C60, 58J60, 55N10.

[1]E-mail: martin.g.mayer@math.uni-giessen.de,
ndiaye@everest.mathematik.uni-tuebingen.de, cheikh.ndiaye@math.uni-giessen.de.

1 Introduction and statement of the results

In recent years, there has been a lot of study about fractional order operators in the context of elliptic theory with nonlocal operators, nonlinear diffusion involving nonlocal operators, nonlocal aggregations, and balance between nonlinear diffusions and nonlocal attractions. The elliptic theory of fractional order operators is well understood in many directions like semilinear equations, free-boundary value problems, and non-local minimal surfaces (see [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [20]). Furthermore a connection to classical conformally covariant operators arising in conformal geometry and their associated conformally invariant geometric variational problems is established (see [15], [19], [28], [30] [23], [21], [29]). In this paper, we are interested in the latter aspect of fractional order operators, precisely in the fractional Yamabe problem introduced by Gonzalez-Qing[30].

To discuss the fractional Yamabe problem, we first recall some definitions in the theory of asymptotically hyperbolic metrics. Given $X = X^{n+1}$ a smooth manifold with boundary $M = M^n$ and $n \geq 2$, we say that ϱ is a defining function of the boundary M in X, if

$$\varrho > 0 \text{ in } X, \quad \varrho = 0 \text{ on } M \text{ and } d\varrho \neq 0 \text{ on } M.$$

A Riemannian metric g^+ on X is said to be conformally compact, if for some defining function ϱ, the Riemannian metric

$$g := \varrho^2 g^+ \tag{1}$$

extends to $\overline{X} := X \cup M$ so that $(\overline{X}, g)$ is a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary M and interior X. Clearly, this induces a conformal class of Riemannian metrics

$$[h] = [g|_{TM}]$$

on M, where TM denotes the tangent bundle of M, when the defining functions ϱ vary and the resulting conformal manifold $(M, [h])$ is called conformal infinity of (X, g^+). Moreover a Riemannian metric g^+ in X is said to be asymptotically hyperbolic, if it is conformally compact and its sectional curvature tends to -1 as one approaches the conformal infinity of (X, g^+), which is equivalent to

$$|d\varrho|_{\bar{g}} = 1$$

on M, see [39], and in such a case (X, g^+) is called an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold. Furthermore a Riemannian metric g^+ on X is said to be conformally compact Einstein or Poincaré-Einstein, if it is asymptotically hyperbolic and satisfies the Einstein equation

$$Ric_{g^+} = -ng^+,$$

where Ric_{g^+} denotes the Ricci tensor of (X, g^+).

On one hand, for every asymptotically hyperbolic manifold (X, g^+) and every choice of the representative h of its conformal infinity $(M, [h])$, there exists a geodesic defining function y of M in X such that in a tubular neighborhood of M in X, the Riemannian metric g^+ takes the following normal form

$$g^+ = \frac{dy^2 + h_y}{y^2}, \tag{2}$$

where h_y is a family of Riemannian metrics on M satisfying $h_0 = h$ and y is the unique such a one in a tubular neighborhood of M. We remark that in the conformally compact Einstein case, h_y has an asymptotic expansion which contains only even power of y, at least up to order n, see [15]. Furthermore we say that the conformal infinity $(M, [\hat{h}])$ of an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold (X, g^+) is locally flat, if h is locally conformally flat, and clearly this is independent of the representative h of $[h]$. Moreover we say that $(M, [h])$ is umbilic, if (M, h) is umbilic in (X, g) where g is given by (1) and y is the unique geodesic defining function given by (2), and this is clearly independent of the representative

h of $[h]$, as easily seen from the unicity of the normal form (2) or Lemma 2.3 in [30]. Similarly, we say that $(M,[h])$ is minimal if $H_g = 0$ with H_g denoting the mean curvature of $(M,\ h)$ in $(\overline{X},\ g)$ with respect to the inward direction, and this is again clearly independent of the representative of h of $[h]$, as easily seen from Lemma 2.3 in [30]. Finally, we say that $(M,[h])$ is totally geodesic, if $(M,[h])$ is umbilic and minimal.

Remark 1.1. *We would like to emphasize the fact that the conformal infinity $(M,[h])$ of any Poincaré-Einstein manifold (X,g^+) is totally geodesic.*

Remark 1.2. *As every 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold is locally conformally flat, we will say locally flat conformal infinity of a Poincaré-Einstein manifold to mean just the conformal infinity of a Poincaré-Einstein manifold when the dimension is either 2 or which is further locally flat if the dimension is bigger than 2.*

On the other hand, for any asymptotically hyperbolic manifold (X,g^+) with conformal infinity $(M,[h])$, Graham-Zworsky[23] have attached a family of scattering operators $S(s)$ which is a meromorphic family of pseudo-differential operators on M defined on $\mathbb{C}$, by considering Dirichlet-to-Neumann operators for the scattering problem for $(X,\ g^+)$ and a meromorphic continuation argument. Indeed, it follows from [23] and [41] that for every $f \in C^\infty(M)$, and for every $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Re(s) > \frac{n}{2}$ and $s(n-s)$ is not an L^2-eigenvalue of $-\Delta_{g^+}$, the following generalized eigenvalue problem

$$-\Delta_{g^+} u - s(n-s)u = 0 \ \text{ in } \ X \tag{3}$$

has a solution of the form

$$u = Fy^{n-s} + Gy^s, \ \ F, G \in C^\infty(\overline{X}), \ \ F|_{y=0} = f,$$

where y is given by the normal form (2) and for those values of s, the scattering operator $S(s)$ on M is defined as follows

$$S(s)f := G|_M. \tag{4}$$

Furthermore using a meromorphic continuation argument, Graham-Zworsky[23] extend $S(s)$ defined by (4) to a meromorphic family of pseudo-differential operators on M defined on all $\mathbb{C}$ and still denoted by $S(s)$ with only a discrete set of poles including the trivial ones $s = \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2}+1, \cdots$, which are simple poles of finite rank, and possibly some others corresponding to the L^2-eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{g^+}$. Using the regular part of the scattering operators $S(s)$, to any $\gamma \in (0,1)$ such that $\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 - \gamma^2 < \lambda_1(-\Delta_{g^+})$ with $\lambda_1(-\Delta_{g^+})$ denoting the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta_{g_+}$, Chang-Gonzalez[15] have attached the following fractional order pseudo-differential operators referred to as fractional conformal Laplacians or fractional Paneitz operators

$$P^\gamma[g^+,\ h] := -d_\gamma S\left(\frac{n}{2}+\gamma\right), \tag{5}$$

where d_γ is a positive constant depending only on γ and chosen such that the principal symbol of $P^\gamma[g^+,h]$ is exactly the same as the one of the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta_h)^\gamma$. When there is no possible confusion with the metric g^+, we will just use the simple notation

$$P^\gamma_h := P^\gamma[g^+,\ h].$$

Similarly to the other well studied conformally covariant differential operators, Chang-Gonzalez[15] associate to each P^γ_h the following curvature quantity

$$Q^\gamma_h := P^\gamma_h(1).$$

The Q^γ_h are referred to as fractional scalar curvatures, or fractional Q-curvatures, or simply Q^γ-curvatures. Of particular importance to conformal geometry is the following conformal covariance property verified by P^γ_h

$$P^\gamma_{h_u}(v) = v^{-\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} P^\gamma_h(uv) \ \text{ for } \ h_v = v^{\frac{4}{n-2\gamma}} \ \text{ and } \ 0 < v \in C^\infty(M). \tag{6}$$

As for the classical scalar curvature, for the Q^γ-curvature, Gonzalez-Qing[30] have introduced the fractional Yamabe problem (or γ-Yamabe problem) which consists of finding conformal metrics with constant fractional scalar curvature Q^γ. Moreover for an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold (X, g^+) with conformal infinity $(M, [h])$, Chang-Gonzalez[15] showed the equivalence between the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operators of the scattering problem (3) with the ones of some uniformly degenerate elliptic boundary value problems defined on (X, g) which coincide with the extension problem of Caffarelli-Sylvestre[11] when

$$(X, g^+, h) = (\mathbb{H}^{n+1}, g_{\mathbb{H}^{n+1}}, g_{\mathbb{R}^n}),$$

and hence

$$(-\Delta_{g_{\mathbb{R}^n}})^\gamma = P^\gamma[g_{\mathbb{H}^{n+1}}, g_{\mathbb{R}^n}].$$

The latter established relation allows Gonzalez-Qing[30] to derive a Hopf-type maximum principle, by taking inspiration from the work of Cabre-Sire[9] which deals with the Euclidean half-space, see Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 3.6 in [30]. Clearly, the latter Hopf-type maximum principle of Gonzalez-Qing[30] opens the door to variational arguments for existence for the γ-Yamabe problem, as explored by Gonzalez-Qing[30], Gonzalez-Wang[31], and Kim-Musso-Wei[34] .

In terms of geometric differential equations, the fractional Yamabe problem is equivalent to finding a smooth and positive solution to the following semilinear pseudo-differential equation with critical Sobolev nonlinearity

$$P_h^\gamma u + Q_h^\gamma = c u^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} \text{ on } M, \tag{7}$$

for some constant c. The non-local equation (7) has a variational structure and thanks to the regularity theory for uniformly degenerate elliptic boundary value problems (see [9],[20], [30]), the above cited local interpretation of P_h^γ of Chang-Gonzalez[15], and the Hope-type maximum principle of Gonzalez-Qing[30], we have that smooth and positive solutions to (7) can be found by looking at critical points of the following fractional Yamabe functional

$$\mathcal{E}_h^\gamma(u) := \frac{\langle u, u\rangle_{P_h^\gamma}}{\left(\int_M u^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}} dV_h\right)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{n}}}, \quad u \in W_+^{\gamma,2}(M, h) := \{v \in W^{\gamma,2}(M, h), \quad v > 0\},$$

where $W^{\gamma,2}(M, h)$ denotes the usual fractional Sobolev space on M with respect to the Riemannian metric h and $\langle u, u\rangle_{P_h^\gamma} = \langle P_h^\gamma u, u\rangle_{L_h^2(M)}$, for more informations see [8], [26], and [45].

However, as for the classical Yamabe problem and for the same reasons, the variational analysis of $\mathcal{E}_h^\gamma$ has a local regime-namely the situation where the local geometry can be used to ensure a solution (even a minimizer) and a global one-which is the case where the local geometry can not be used to find a solution and that just a global one usually called *mass* can be used. Furthermore still as for the classical Yamabe problem, there is a natural invariant called the γ-Yamabe invariant of $(M, [h])$ denoted by $\mathcal{Y}^\gamma(M, [h])$ and defined by the following formula

$$\mathcal{Y}^\gamma(M, [h]) := \inf_{u \in W_+^{\gamma,2}(M)} \frac{\langle u, u\rangle_{P_h^\gamma}}{(\int_M u^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}} dV_h)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}.$$

From the work of Gonzalez-Qing[30], it is known that $\mathcal{Y}^\gamma(M, [h])$ satisfies the following rigidity estimate

$$\mathcal{Y}^\gamma(M, [h]) \leq \mathcal{Y}^\gamma(S^n, [g_{S^n}]),$$

where $(S^n, [g_{S^n}])$ is the conformal infinity of the Poincar ball model of the hyperbolic space. Moreover as mentioned in the abstract, the global situation clearly includes the case of locally flat conformal infinity of a Poincaré-Einstein manifold as observed by Kim-Musso-Wei[34], while the existence results of Gonzalez-Qing[30], Gonzalez-Wang[31], and some part of the existence results in Kim-Musso-Wei[34] deal with

situations which clearly belong to the local regime. Moreover in the global situation, as for the classical Yamabe problem, to run the minimizing technique of Aubin[2]-Schoen[43], one needs an analogue of the positive mass theorem of Schoen-Yau[44] (see the work of Kim-Musso-Wei[33]), which is not known to hold, see conjecture 1.6 in Kim-Musso-Wei[34].

Our main goal in this work is to show that with the analytical results provided by the works of Caffarelli-Sylvestre[11], Chang-Gonzalez[15], and Gonzalez-Qing[30] cited above at hand, and the classical regularity theory for uniformly degenerate elliptic equations, one can perform a variational argument for existence for the fractional Yamabe problem for a locally flat conformal infinity of a Poincaré-Einstein manifold, bypassing the lack of knowledge of the fractional analogue of the positive mass theorem of Schoen-Yau[43]. Indeed, using a suitable scheme of the algebraic topological argument (called also barycenter technique) of Bahri-Coron[6] in the form implemented in our previous works [37] and [38], we show the following existence theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $n \geq 2$ be a positive integer, (X^{n+1}, g^+) be a Poincaré-Einstein manifold with conformal infinity $(M^n, [h])$, $\gamma \in (0,1)$, and $\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^2 - \gamma^2 < \lambda_1(-\Delta_{g^+})$. Assuming that either $n = 2$ or $n \geq 3$ and $(M,[h])$ is locally flat, then $(M,[h])$ carries a Riemannian metric of constant Q^γ-curvature.*

Remark 1.4. *We point out that as observed by Gonzalez-Qing[30], for Poincaré-Einstein manifolds, the $\frac{1}{2}$-Yamabe problem is equivalent to the Riemann mapping problem of Cherrier[17]-Escobar[18], which is completely solved after the series of works of [1], [16], [18], [36], [42], [38].*

As already mentioned, to prove Theorem 1.3, we use variational arguments by applying a suitable scheme of the barycenter technique of Bahri-Coron[6]. In fact, exploiting the fact that the conformal infinity is locally flat, the ambient space is Poincaré-Einstein, the conformal covariance property (6), the works of Caffarelli-Sylvestre[11] and Chang-Gonzalez[15] , the Hopf-type maximum principle of Gonzalez-Qing[30], and the standard bubbles attached to the related optimal trace Sobolev inequality, we define some bubbles and show that they can be used to run a suitable scheme of the barycenter technique of Bahri-Coron[6] for existence. For a discussion about the barycenter technique of Bahri-Coron[6], we refer the reader to the introduction of our paper[38] (see also [6], [24], [25], [37], and [42]).

We would like to make some comments about the application of the barycenter technique of Bahri-Coron[6] in our situation and in the case of the classical Yamabe problem for locally conformally flat closed Riemannian manifolds studied by Bahri[4]. Clearly the latter situations are counterpart to each other, however the nonlocal aspect of our situation creates an additional difficulty which is not in its counterpart for the classical Yamabe problem which is of local nature. In fact, even if both problems are conformally invariant and that after a conformal change, we are locally in the corresponding model space of singularity, we have that the lower order term, i.e. the scalar curvature, *vanishes* locally for the classical Yamabe problem, because of its local nature, while for the fractional Yamabe problem, that does not necessarily imply that the Q^γ-curvature vanishes, because of the nonlocal aspect of the problem, and this is the source of the difficulty we mentioned before, which is similar to the one encountered by Bahri-Brezis[5] (and Brendle[7] in a different framework). To overcome the latter issue, we use the works of Caffarelli-Sylvestre[11], Chang-Gonzalez[15], and Gonzalez-Qing[30], to reduce ourself to a local situation. However, after having done that one encounters the problem of not having an explicit knowledge of the standard bubble corresponding to the reduced local situation, and clearly an explicit knowledge of the standard bubble in the corresponding situation for the classical Yamabe problem plays an important role in the variational theory of the classical Yamabe functional. To deal with the lack of an explicit knowledge of the standard bubbles corresponding to the reduced local situation on the 1-dimension augmented half space, we observe that its integral representation given in Caffarelli-Sylvestre[11] can be interpreted as a suitable interaction of standard bubbles on the boundary of the latter augmented half space with different points and scales of concentration. Then one can think that the latter way of interpretation gives the needed estimates to make use of the barycenter technique of Bahri-Coron[6], that one gets for free from an explicit knowledge, and this is made rigorous in this work, see lemma 5.1 and corollary 5.3 .

Remark 1.5. *We remark that the estimates of corollary 5.3 are sharp, new, of independent interest, and that some weak versions of them are known in the literature, see for example [4], [30], [31], [33]. Furthermore the method of proof of the estimates of corollary 5.3 which is based on lemma 5.1 is different from its weak versions whose strategy of proof is based on Fourier transform.*

Remark 1.6. *We would like to emphasize the analogy between our function $\mathcal{M}_\gamma$ given by definition 6.4 and the notion of mass appearing in the context of the classical Yamabe problem. Moreover we want to point out that the analysis giving rise to the definition of $\mathcal{M}_\gamma$ answers the first part of the conjecture of Kim-Musso-Wei[34] about the structure of the Green's function, see corollary 6.1. Furthermore we believe that the work of Schoen-Yau[44] can be adapted to this fractional framework and answer the second part of conjecture of Kim-Musso-Wei[34] about sign, and hence as checked by Kim-Musso-Wei[34] ensure the existence of a minimizer for $\mathcal{E}_h^\gamma$. We add that under the validity of the conjecture of Kim-Musso-Wei[34], our sharp estimate given by lemma 7.2 gives directly the existence of a fractional Yamabe minimizer.*

The structure of the paper is as follows . In Section 2, we fix some notations, define our bubbles and give some preliminaries, like the set of formal barycenters of the underlying manifold of the conformal infinity and present some useful topological properties of them. In Section 3, we develop a non-homogeneous extension of some aspect of the work of Chang-Gonzalez[15] and Graham-Zworsky[23]. It is divided into subsections. In the first one, namely subsection 3.1, we develop a non-homogeneous scattering theory, define the associated non-homogeneous fractional operator and its relation to a non-homogeneous uniformly degenerate boundary value problem. In subsection 3.2, we discuss the conformal property of the non-homogeneous fractional operator. We point out that section 3 even being of independent interest contains a regularity result that we use in section 6. Section 4 is concerned with the study of some fundamental solutions in the general case of asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds. While section 5 deals with the introduction of some bubbles and the derivation of some related interactions, in section 6 we sharpen the results obtained so far in the particular case of a locally flat conformal infinity of a Poincar-Einstein manifold (recalling Remark 1.2). Moreover we recall the Schoen's bubbles associated to our problem and use them to define an other family of bubbles–and call them Projective bubbles– which "talk" more to the equivalent local formulation. Furthermore we establish sharp L^∞-estimates for the difference between the latter two type of bubbles and derive a sharp selfaction estimate for our Projective bubbles. In section 7, we present the variational and algebraic topological argument to prove Theorem 1.3. It is divided in two subsections. In the first one, namely subsection 7.1, we present a variational principle which extends the classical variational principle by taking into account the non-compactness phenomena of our Projective bubbles. In the second one, namely subsection 7.2, we present the barycenter technique or algebraic topological argument for existence. Finally in section 8, we collect the proof of some technical lemmas and estimates.

Acknowledgements

The authors worked on this project when they were visiting the department of Mathematics of the University of Ulm in Germany. Part of this paper was written when the authors were visiting the Mathematical Institute of Oberwolfach in Germany as "Research in Pairs". We are very grateful to all these institutions for their kind hospitality.

2 Notations and preliminaries

In this section, we fix some notations, and give some preliminaries. First of all let $X = X^{n+1}$ be a manifold of dimension $n+1$ with boundary $M = M^n$ and closure $\overline{X}$ with $n \geq 2$.

In the following, for any Riemannian metric $\bar{h}$ defined on M, $a \in M$ and $r > 0$, we will use the notation $B_r^{\bar{h}}(a)$ to denote the geodesic ball with respect to $\bar{h}$ of radius r and center a. We also denote by $d_{\bar{h}}(x, y)$ the geodesic distance with respect to $\bar{h}$ between two points x and y of M. $inj_{\bar{h}}(M)$ stands

for the injectivity radius of $(M,\bar{h})$. $dV_{\bar{h}}$ denotes the Riemannian measure associated to the metric $\bar{h}$ on M. For $a \in M$, we use the notation $\exp_a^{\bar{h}}$ to denote the exponential map with respect to $\bar{h}$ on M.

Similarly, for any Riemannian metric $\bar{g}$ defined on $\overline{X}$, $a \in M$ and $r > 0$, we will use the notation $B_a^{\bar{g},+}(r)$ to denote the geodesic half ball with respect to $\bar{g}$ of radius r and center a. We also denote by $d_{\bar{g}}(x,y)$ the geodesic distance with respect to $\bar{g}$ between two points $x \in M$ and $y \in \overline{X}$. $inj_{\bar{g}}(\overline{X})$ stands for the injectivity radius of $(\overline{X},\bar{g})$. $dV_{\bar{g}}$ denotes the Riemannian measure associated to the metric $\bar{g}$ on $\overline{X}$. For $a \in M^n$, we use the notation $\exp_a^{\bar{g},+}$ to denote the exponential map with respect to $\bar{g}$ on $\overline{X}$.

$\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of nonnegative integers, $\mathbb{N}^*$ stands for the set of positive integers, and for $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\mathbb{R}^k$ stands for the standard k-dimensional Euclidean space, $\mathbb{R}^k_+$ the open positive half-space of $\mathbb{R}^k$, and $\bar{\mathbb{R}}^k_+$ its closure in $\mathbb{R}^k$. For simplicity, we will use the notation $\mathbb{R}_+ := \mathbb{R}^1_+$, and $\bar{\mathbb{R}}_+ := \bar{\mathbb{R}}^1_+$. For $r > 0$, $B_r^{\mathbb{R}^k}(0)$ denotes the open ball of $\mathbb{R}^k$ of center 0 and radius r. Furthermore for $r > 0$, we set $B_r^{\mathbb{R}^k_+}(0) := B_r^{\mathbb{R}^k}(0) \cap \bar{\mathbb{R}}^k_+ \simeq [0,r[\times B_r^{\mathbb{R}^{k-1}}(0)$. For simplicity, we set $B_r = B_r^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and $B_r^+ = B_r^{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+}$.

For $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, σ_p denotes the permutation group of p elements and M^p the Cartesian product of p copies of M. We define $(M^2)^* := M^2 \setminus Diag(M^2)$, where $Diag(M^2) = \{(a,a) : a \in M\}$ is the diagonal of M^2.

For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\beta \in]0,1[$, and $\bar{h}$ a Riemannian metric defined on M, $L^p(M,\bar{h})$, $W^{s,p}(M,\bar{h})$, $C^k(M,\bar{h})$, and $C^{k,\beta}(M,\bar{h})$ stand respectively for the standard p-Lebesgue space, (s,p)-Sobolev space, k-continuously differentiable space, and k-continuously differential space of Hölder exponent β, all on M and with respect to $\bar{h}$ (if the definition needs a metric structure) and for precise definitions and properties, see for example [3], [8], [26], and [45]. Similarly, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\beta \in]0,1[$, and $\bar{g}$ a Riemannian metric defined on $\overline{X}$, $L^p(\overline{X},\bar{g})$, $W^{s,p}(\overline{X},\bar{g})$, $C^k(\overline{X},\bar{g})$, and $C^{k,\beta}(\overline{X},\bar{g})$ stand respectively for the standard p-Lebesgue space, (s,p)-Sobolev space, k-continuously differentiable space, and k-continuously differential space of Hölder exponent β, all on $\overline{X}$, and as above, with respect to g (if the definition needs a metric structure) and still for precise definitions and properties, see for example [3], [8], [26], and [45]. $C_0^\infty(X)$ means element in $C^\infty(X)$ vanishing on M to infinite order.

For $\varepsilon > 0$ and small, $o_\varepsilon(1)$ means quantities which tend to 0 as ε tends to 0. $O(1)$ stands for quantities which are bounded. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we will use the notation $O(x)$, and $o(x)$, to mean respectively $|x|O(1)$, and $|x|o(1)$. Large positive constants are usually denoted by C and the value of C is allowed to vary from formula to formula and also within the same line. Similarly small positive constants are denoted by c and their values may vary from formula to formula and also within the same line.

For Z a topological space, $H_*(Z)$ will denote the singular homology of Z with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients, and $H^*(Z)$ for the cohomology. For Y a subspace of X, $H_*(Z,Y)$ will stand for the relative homology. The symbol $\frown$ will denote the cap product between cohomology and homology. For a map $f : Z \to Y$, with Z and Y topological spaces, f_* stands for the induced map in homology, and f^* for the induced map in cohomology.

We also define the following quantities

$$c_{n,1}^\gamma := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{1+|x|^2}\right)^n dx \text{ and } c_{n,2}^\gamma := d_\gamma^* \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} y^{1-2\gamma} \left|\nabla \hat{\delta}_{0,1}(y,x)\right|^2 dxdy,$$

where

$$d_\gamma^* := \frac{d_\gamma}{2\gamma}. \tag{8}$$

Furthermore we set

$$c_{n,3}^{\gamma} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} dx \tag{9}$$

and define

$$c_{n,4}^{\gamma} = c_{n,\gamma} c_{n,3}^{\gamma} \tag{10}$$

and

$$c_{n,\gamma}^{*} = d_{\gamma}^{*} c_{n,3}^{\gamma}. \tag{11}$$

Furthermore for $\gamma \in (0,1)$ we set

$$\mathcal{Y}^{\gamma}(S^n) := \mathcal{Y}^{\gamma}(S^n, [g_{S^n}]),$$

and for $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and λ positive, we define the standard bubbles on $\mathbb{R}^n$ as follows

$$\delta_{a,\lambda}(x) := \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2|x-b|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

They are solutions of the following pseudo-differential equation

$$(-\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n})^{\gamma} \delta_{a,\lambda} = c_{n,\gamma} \delta_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $c_{n,\gamma}$ is a positive constant depending only on n and γ. In particular the numbers $c_{n,\gamma}, c_{n,1}^{\gamma}, c_{n,2}^{\gamma}$ and $\mathcal{Y}^{\gamma}(S^n)$ verify the following relations

$$c_{n,2}^{\gamma} = c_{n,\gamma} c_{n,1}^{\gamma} \text{ and } \mathcal{Y}_{\gamma}(S^n) = \frac{c_{n,2}^{\gamma}}{(c_{n,1}^{\gamma})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{n}}}. \tag{12}$$

Using $\delta_{a,\lambda}$, we define $\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda}$ via

$$\begin{cases} div(y^{1-2\gamma} \nabla \tilde{\delta}_{a,\lambda}) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_{+}, \\ \tilde{\delta}_{a,\lambda} = \delta_{a,\lambda} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Equivalently by [11]

$$\tilde{\delta}_{a,\lambda}(\cdot) = \hat{\delta}_{0,\lambda}(\cdot - a)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\delta}_{0,\lambda}(y,x) = K * \delta_{0,1}(y,x) &= p_{n,\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{y^{2\gamma}}{(y^2+|x-\xi|^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2|\xi|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} d\xi \\ &= p_{n,\gamma} y^{-\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{y^{-1}}{1+y^{-2}|x-\xi|^2}\right)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2|\xi|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} d\xi \\ &= p_{n,\gamma} y^{-\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_{x,y^{-1}}^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} \, \delta_{0,\lambda} \, d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

with K being the Poisson kernel at 0 given by

$$K(y,x) := p_{n,\gamma} \frac{y^{2\gamma}}{(|x|^2+|y|^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}}, \; p_{n,\gamma} = \frac{1}{c_{n,3}^{\gamma}}. \tag{13}$$

Now, we are going to present some topological properties of the space of formal barycenter of M that we will need for our barycenter technique for existence. To do that for $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we recall that the set of formal barycenters of M of order p is defined as follows

$$B_p(M) := \{\sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i \delta_{a_i} \ : \ a_i \in \partial M,\ \alpha_i \geq 0,\ \ i = 1, \cdots, p,\ \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_i = 1\},$$

where δ_a for $a \in M$ denotes the Dirac measure at a, and set

$$B_0(M) = \emptyset.$$

Furthermore we have the existence of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ orientation classes

$$w_p \in H_{np-1}(B_p(M), B_{p-1}(M)) \tag{14}$$

and that the cap product acts as follows

$$H^l(M^p/\sigma_p)) \times H_k(B_p(M), B_{p-1}(M)) \ \longrightarrow^{\frown}\ H_{k-l}(B_p(M), B_{p-1}(M)). \tag{15}$$

On the other hand, since M is a closed n-dimensional manifold, then we have

$$\text{an orientation class } 0 \neq O_M^* \in H^n(M).$$

Furthermore there is a natural way to see $O_M^* \in H^n(M)$ as a nontrivial element of $H^n(M^p/\sigma_p)$, namely

$$O_M^* \simeq O_p^* \text{ with } 0 \neq O_p^* \in H^n((M^p)/\sigma_p). \tag{16}$$

Recalling (15), and Identifying O_M^* and O_p^* via (16), we have the following well-know formula.

Lemma 2.1. *There holds*

$$\begin{aligned} H^n((M^p)/\sigma_p) \times H_{(n+1)p-1}(B_p(M), B_{p-1}(M)) &\ \longrightarrow^{\frown}\ H_{(n+1)p-(n+1)}(B_p(M), B_{p-1}(M)) \\ &\ \longrightarrow^{\partial}\ H_{(n+1)p-n-2}(B_{p-1}(M), B_{p-2}(M)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\omega_{p-1} = \partial(O_M^* \frown w_p).$$

3 Non-homogeneous theory

In this section, we discuss some aspect of the work of Chang-Gonzalez[15] and Graham-Zworsky[23] in the non-homogeneous setting and in the general framework of asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds. We remark that this section is of independent interest, but in it we derive a regularity result used in section 6. We divide this section in two subsections.

3.1 Scattering operators and uniformly degenerate equations

In this subsection, we extend some parts of the work of Chang-Gonzalez[15] to a non-homogeneous setting in the context of asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds. First of all, let (X, g^+) be an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold with conformal infinity $(M, [h])$ and y the unique geodesic defining function associated to h given by (2). Then we have the normal form

$$y^2 g^+ = g = dy^2 + h_y, \ \text{ near } \ M$$

with

$$y > 0 \quad \text{in} \quad X, \ \ y = 0 \ \text{ on } \ M \ \text{ and } \ |dy|_g = 1 \quad \text{near} \quad M$$

Furthermore let

$$\Box_{g^+} = -\Delta_{g^+} - s(n-s),$$

where by definition

$$s = \frac{n}{2} + \gamma, \;\; \gamma \in (0,1), \;\; \gamma \neq \frac{1}{2} \;\; \text{and} \;\; s(n-s) \in (0, \frac{n^2}{4}).$$

According to Mazzeo and Melrose [39], [40], [41]

$$\sigma(-\Delta_{g^+}) = \sigma_{pp}(-\Delta_{g^+}) \cup [\frac{n^2}{4}, \infty), \; \sigma_{pp}(-\Delta_{g^+}) \subset (0, \frac{n^2}{4}),$$

where $\sigma(-\Delta_{g^+})$ and $\sigma_{pp}(-\Delta_{g^+})$ are respectively the spectrum and the pure point spectrum of L^2-eigenvalues of $-\Delta_{g^+}$. Using the work of Graham-Zworski[23] (see equation (3.9)), we may solve

$$\begin{cases} \Box_{g^+} u = f \;\; \text{in} \;\; X, \\ y^{s-n} u = \underline{v} \;\; \text{on} \;\; M, \end{cases}$$

for $s(n-s) \notin \sigma_{pp}(-\Delta_{g^+})$ and $f \in y^{n-s+1} C^\infty(\overline{X}) + y^{s+1} C^\infty(\overline{X})$ in the form

$$\begin{cases} u = y^{n-s} A + y^s B \;\; \text{in} \;\; X, \\ A, \;\; B \in C^\infty(\overline{X}), \;\; A = \underline{v} \;\; \text{on} \;\; M, \end{cases} .$$

As in the case $f = 0$, which corresponds to the generalized eigenvalue problem of Graham-Zworsky[23], this gives rise to a Dirichlet-to-Neumann map $S_f(s)$ via

$$\underline{v} = A\lfloor_M \longrightarrow B\lfloor_M = \overline{v},$$

which we refer to as non-homogeneous scattering operator and denote it by $S_f(s)$. Clearly $S_0(s) = S(s)$ and $S_f(s)$ is invertible, since the standard scattering operator $S_0(s)$ is invertible (see equation (1.2) in [32]). We define the non-homogeneous fractional operators by

$$P^h_{\gamma,f} = -d_\gamma S_f(s),$$

where d_γ is as in (5). Following [30] we find by conformal covariance of the conformal Laplacian that

$$\Box_{g^+} u = f \overset{U = y^{s-n} u}{\longleftrightarrow} D_g U = y^{-s-1} f, \tag{17}$$

where

$$D_g U = -div_g(y^{1-2\gamma} \nabla_g U) + E_g U \tag{18}$$

and with $L_g = -\Delta_g + \frac{R_g}{c_n}$ denoting the conformal Laplacian on (X, g)

$$E_g = y^{\frac{1-2\gamma}{2}} L_g y^{\frac{1-2\gamma}{2}} - (\frac{R_{g^+}}{c_n} + s(n-s)) y^{(1-2\gamma)-2}, \; c_n = \frac{4n}{n-1}. \tag{19}$$

Thus we find for $\phi, \psi \in C^\infty(\overline{X})$, that

$$\begin{cases} \Box_{g^+} u = y^{n-s+1}\phi + y^{s+1}\psi \;\; \text{in} \;\; X \\ y^{s-n} u = \underline{v} \;\; \text{on} \;\; M \end{cases} \overset{U = y^{s-n} u}{\longleftrightarrow} \begin{cases} D_g U = y^{-2\gamma}\phi + \psi \text{ in } X \\ U = \underline{v} \text{ on } M \end{cases} .$$

Note, that such a solution U is of the form

$$U = A + B y^{2\gamma} = \sum A_i y^i + \sum B_i y^{i+2\gamma} + U_0$$

for some $U_0 \in C_0^\infty(X)$ and has principal terms

$$\begin{cases} \underline{v} + \overline{v} y^{2\gamma} & \text{for } \gamma < \frac{1}{2} \\ \underline{v} + A_1 y + \overline{v} y^{2\gamma} & \text{for } \gamma > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} .$$

As for the case $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$, expanding the boundary metric h_y, we find

$$h_y = h_0 + h_1 y + O(y^2) \quad \text{with} \quad h_1 = 2\Pi_g$$

and Π_g denoting the second fundamental form of (M, h) in $(\overline{X}, g)$. Still according to [23] we may solve

$$\begin{cases} \Box_{g^+} u = \Box_{g^+} u = y^{n-s+2}\phi + y^{s+1}\psi & \text{in } X \\ y^{s-n} u = \underline{v} & \text{on } M \end{cases}$$

for $\phi, \psi \in C^\infty(\overline{X})$ in the form

$$\begin{cases} u = y^{n-s} A + y^s B & \text{in } X \\ A,\ B \in C^\infty(\overline{X}),\ \ A = \underline{v} & \text{on } M \end{cases}$$

with asymptotic

$$A = \sum A_i y^i, \ \ A_0 = \underline{v}, \ \ A_1 = 0$$

at a point, where $H_g = 0$, i.e. the mean curvature vanishes. Thus for $\gamma > \frac{1}{2}$

$$\begin{cases} \Box_{g^+} u = y^{n-s+2}\phi + y^{s+1}\psi \text{ in } X \\ y^{s-n} u = \underline{v} \text{ on } M \end{cases} \quad \overset{U = y^{s-n} u}{\Longleftrightarrow} \quad \begin{cases} D_g U = y^{1-2\gamma}\phi + \psi \text{ in } X \\ U = \underline{v} \text{ on } M \end{cases}$$

with principal terms

$$U = \underline{v} + \overline{v} y^{2\gamma} + o(y^{2\gamma})$$

at a point with $H_g = 0$ - just like in the case $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}$ - and there holds

$$\overline{v} = \frac{1}{2\gamma} \lim_{y \to 0} y^{1-2\gamma} \partial_y U.$$

We summarize the latter discussion in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let (X, g^+) be a $(n+1)$-dimensional asymptotically hyperbolic manifold with minimal conformal infinity $(M, [h])$ and y the unique geodesic defining function associated to h given by (2). Assuming that*

$$s = \frac{n}{2} + \gamma, \ \ \gamma \in (0, 1), \ \ \gamma \neq \frac{1}{2}, \quad s(n-s) \notin \sigma_{pp}(\Delta_{g^+})$$

and $f \in y^{n-s+2} C^\infty(\overline{X}) + y^{s+1} C^\infty(\overline{X})$, then for every $\underline{v} \in C^\infty(M)$

$$P^h_{\gamma, f}(\underline{v}) := -d^*_\gamma \lim_{y \to 0} y^{1-2\gamma} \partial_y U^f$$

where U^f is the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} D_g U = y^{-s-1} f & \text{in } X \\ U = \underline{v} & \text{on } M \end{cases}$$

and d^*_γ is as in (8). Moreover U^f satisfies

$$U^f = A + y^{2\gamma} B, \quad A,\ B \in C^\infty(\overline{X})$$

and A *and* B *satisfy the asymptotics*

$$\begin{cases} A = \sum A_i y^i, \quad A_i \in C^\infty(M), \quad A_0 = \underline{v} \ \text{ and } \ A_1 = 0 \\ B = \sum B_i y^i, \quad B_i \in C^\infty(M) \quad \text{ and } \quad -d_\gamma B_0 = -d_\gamma \overline{v} := P^h_{\gamma,f}(\underline{v}), \end{cases}$$

where d_γ *is as in* (5)*, hence*

$$U^f = \underline{v} + \overline{v} y^{2\gamma} + o(y^{2\gamma}).$$

3.2 Conformal property of the non-homogeneous scattering operator

In this subsection, we study the conformal property of the non-homogeneous scattering operator $P^\gamma_{h,f}$ of the previous subsection. To this end, we first consider as background data (X, g^+) with conformal infinity $(M, [h])$ with $n \geq 2$ and y the associated unique geodesic definition function such that

$$g = y^2 g^+, \quad g = dy^2 + h_y \quad \text{close to} \quad M \quad \text{and} \quad h = g\lfloor_M$$

as in (2). From (19) it is easy to see, that in g-normal Fermi coordinates (y, x)

$$E_g = \frac{n - 2\gamma}{2} \frac{\partial_y \sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{g}} y^{-2\gamma} \quad \text{close to} \quad M. \tag{20}$$

We assume further that $(M, [h])$ is minimal and $\Box_{g^+}$ is positive, i.e.

$$H_g = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1(-\Delta_{g^+}) > s(n - s).$$

Then $\partial_y \sqrt{g} = 0$ on M^{n+1} and we may assume

$$\partial_y \sqrt{g} \in y C^\infty(\overline{X}) \tag{21}$$

whence D_g is well defined on

$$W^{1,2}_{y^{1-2\gamma}} = W^{1,2}_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(X, g) = \overline{C^\infty(X)}^{\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,2}_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(X,g)}}, \ \|u\|^2_{W^{1,2}_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(X,g)} = \int_X y^{1-2\gamma}(|du|^2_g + u^2) dV_g$$

and becomes positive under Dirichlet condition, cf. (17), so

$$\partial_y \sqrt{g} \in y C^\infty(\overline{X}) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{D_g} \simeq \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{W^{1,2}_{y^{1-2\gamma}}}.$$

Let us consider now a conformal metric $\tilde{h} = \varphi^{\frac{4}{n-2\gamma}} h$ on M. We then find a unique geodesic defining function $\tilde{y} > 0$, precisely unique in a tubular neighborhood of M, such that

$$\tilde{g} = d\tilde{y}^2 + \tilde{h}_y \quad \text{close to} \quad M, \ \ \tilde{y}^{-2}\tilde{g} = g^+ = y^{-2} g \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{h} = \varphi^{\frac{4}{n-2}} h = (\frac{\tilde{y}}{y})^2 h \quad \text{on} \quad M.$$

So we may naturally extend $\varphi = (\frac{\tilde{y}}{y})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}$ onto X and by the conformal relation

$$\tilde{g} = (\frac{\tilde{y}}{y})^2 g = \varphi^{\frac{4}{n-2\gamma}} g,$$

we still have $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{D_{\tilde{g}}} \simeq \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{W^{1,2}_{\tilde{y}^{1-2\gamma}}}$. Putting $\tilde{y} = \alpha y$, the equation

$$|dy|^2_g = 1 = |d\tilde{y}|^2_{\tilde{g}} = 1 + 2\frac{y}{\alpha}\langle d\alpha, dy \rangle_g + (\frac{y}{\alpha})^2 |d\alpha|^2_g$$

for the geodesic defining functions implies $\partial_y \alpha = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{y}{\alpha}|d\alpha|^2_g$. Since $\tilde{g} = \alpha^2 g$ by definition, we firstly find $H_g = 0 \Longrightarrow H_{\tilde{g}} = 0$, i.e. minimality is preserved as already observed by Gonzalez-Qing[30], and secondly $\tilde{y} = \alpha_0 y + O(y^3)$. Thus on the one hand side the properties

$$\partial_{\tilde{y}} \sqrt{\tilde{g}} \in \tilde{y} C^\infty \text{ and } \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{D_{\tilde{g}}} \simeq \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{W^{1,2}_{\tilde{y}^{1-2\gamma}}}$$

are preserved under a conformal change of the metric on the boundary. Moreover we obtain a conformal transformation for the extension operators $D_{\tilde{g}}$ and D_g subjected to Dirichlet and weighted Neumann boundary conditions. Put $\tilde{u} = (\frac{y}{\tilde{y}})^{n-s}u$. As for the Dirichlet case, (17) directly shows

$$\begin{cases} D_g u = f \quad \text{in} \quad X, \\ u = v \quad \text{on} \quad M \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} D_{\tilde{g}}\tilde{u} = (\frac{y}{\tilde{y}})^{s+1} f \quad \text{in} \ X, \\ \tilde{u} = (\frac{y}{\tilde{y}})^{n-s} v \ \text{ on } \ M \end{cases}$$

Moreover there holds

$$\lim_{y\to 0} y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_y u = v \iff \lim_{\tilde{y}\to 0} \tilde{y}^{1-2\gamma}\partial_{\tilde{y}}\tilde{u} = (\frac{y}{\tilde{y}})^{n-s+2\gamma} v,$$

since $\tilde{y} = \alpha_0 y + O(y^3)$, whence for the weighted Neumann case we obtain

$$\begin{cases} D_g u = f \quad \text{in} \quad X \\ \lim_{y\to 0} y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_y u = v \quad \text{on} \quad M \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} D_{\tilde{g}}\tilde{u} = (\frac{y}{\tilde{y}})^{s+1} f \quad \text{in} \quad X \\ \lim_{\tilde{y}\to 0} \tilde{y}^{1-2\gamma}\partial_{\tilde{y}}\tilde{u} = (\frac{y}{\tilde{y}})^{n-s+2\gamma} v \quad \text{on} \quad M \end{cases}.$$

We may rephrase this via $\varphi = (\frac{\tilde{y}}{y})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} = (\frac{\tilde{y}}{y})^{n-s}$ as

$$\begin{cases} D_g(\varphi u) = \varphi^{\frac{s+1}{n-s}} f \quad \text{in} \quad X \\ \varphi u = \varphi v \quad \text{on} \quad M \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} D_{\tilde{g}} u = f \ \text{ in } \ X \\ u = v \ \text{ on } \ M \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} D_g(\varphi u) = \varphi^{\frac{s+1}{n-s}} f \ \text{ in } \ X \\ \lim_{y\to 0} y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_y(\varphi u) = \varphi^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} v \quad \text{on} \quad M^n \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} D_{\tilde{g}}\tilde{u} = f \quad \text{in} \quad X \\ \lim_{\tilde{y}\to 0} \tilde{y}^{1-2\gamma}\partial_{\tilde{y}} u = v \quad \text{on} \quad M \end{cases}.$$

Noticing $\frac{s+1}{n-s} = \frac{n+2+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}$ we thus have shown

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P^{\tilde{h}}_{\gamma,f}(\underline{v}) = \overline{v} & \Longleftrightarrow & \begin{cases} D_{\tilde{g}} u = f \quad \text{in} \quad X \\ u = \underline{v} \quad \text{on} \quad M \\ -d^*_\gamma \lim_{\tilde{y}\to 0} \tilde{y}^{1-2\gamma}\partial_{\tilde{y}} u = \overline{v} \quad \text{on} \quad M \end{cases} \\ \Updownarrow & & \Updownarrow \\ P^{h}_{\gamma,\varphi^{\frac{s+1}{n-s}} f}(\varphi\underline{v}) = \varphi^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}\overline{v} & \Longleftrightarrow & \begin{cases} D_g(\varphi u) = \varphi^{\frac{n+2+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} f \ \text{ in } X \\ \varphi u = \varphi\underline{v} \ \text{ on } M \\ -d^*_\gamma \lim_{y\to 0} y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_y(\varphi u) = \varphi^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}\overline{v} \ \text{ on } M \end{cases} \end{array}$$

Therefore the non-homogeneous fractional operator verifies the conformal property

$$P^{\tilde{h}}_{\gamma,f}(\underline{v}) = \varphi^{-\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} P^{h}_{\gamma,\varphi^{\frac{s+1}{n-s}} f}(\varphi\underline{v})$$

for $\tilde{h} = \varphi^{\frac{4}{n-2\gamma}} h$ or equivalently

$$P^{\tilde{h}}_{\gamma,\tilde{f}}(\underline{v}) = \varphi^{-\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} P^{h}_{\gamma,f}(\varphi\underline{v})$$

for $\tilde{h} = \varphi^{\frac{4}{n-2\gamma}} h$ and $\tilde{f} = \varphi^{\frac{-s-1}{n-s}} f$, hence extending the conformal property of the homogeneous fractional operator to the non-homogeneous setting. We remark that

$$P^h_\gamma = P^h_{\gamma,0}.$$

4 Fundamental solutions in the asymptotically hyperbolic case

In this section, keeping the notations of the previous section, for an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold (X, g^+) with conformal infinity $(M, [h])$, we study the existence and asymptotic behavior of the Poisson kernel K_g of D_g, the Green's function Γ_g of D_g under weighted normal boundary condition and G_h^γ of the fractional conformal Laplacian P_h^γ satisfying the boundary value problems

$$\begin{cases} D_g K_g(\cdot, \xi) = 0 \text{ in } X \text{ and for all } \xi \in M \\ \lim_{y\to 0} K_g(y, x, \xi) = \delta_x(\xi) \text{ and for all } x, \xi \in M, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} D_g \Gamma_g(\cdot, \xi) = 0 \text{ in } X \text{ and for all } \xi \in M \\ -d_\gamma^* \lim_{y\to 0} y^{1-2\gamma} \partial_y \Gamma_g(y, x, \xi) = \delta_x(\xi) \text{ and for all } x, \xi \in M, \end{cases}$$

where d_γ^* is given by (8), and

$$P_h^\gamma G_h^\gamma(x, \xi) = \delta_x(\xi), \quad x \in M.$$

Thus by definition, we have

$$K_g : (\overline{X} \times M) \setminus Diag(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$$

is the Green's function to the extension problem

$$\begin{cases} D_g U = 0 \text{ in } X \\ U = \underline{v} \text{ on } M \end{cases},$$

while

$$\Gamma_g : (\overline{X} \times M) \setminus Diag(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$$

is the Green's function to the dual problem

$$\begin{cases} D_g U = 0 \text{ in } X \\ -d_\gamma^* \lim_{y\to 0} y^{1-2\gamma} \partial_y U = \overline{v} \text{ on } M \end{cases}$$

and

$$G_\gamma^h : (M \times M) \setminus Diag(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+.$$

is the Green's function of the nonlocal problem

$$P_\gamma^h \underline{v} = \overline{v} \text{ on } M.$$

They are linked via

$$\Gamma_g = K_g * G_\gamma^h. \tag{22}$$

4.1 Poisson kernel for D_g

In this subsection, we study the Poisson kernel K_g focusing on the existence issue and its asymptotics. We will follow the method of Lee-Parker[35], however due to the rigidity property enjoyed by the problem, we loose symmetry when looking for good coordinates and has to overcome this issue. To do that we work in the space of homogeneous functions rather than in the one of polynomials which is the case in [35].

We start with recalling some related facts in the case of the standard Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$. Indeed, according to [11] on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$

$$K(y,x,\xi)=K_\gamma(y,x,\xi)=p_{n,\gamma}\frac{y^{2\gamma}}{(y^2+|x-\xi|^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}},$$

where $p_{n,\gamma}$ is as in (13), is the Poisson kernel of the operator

$$D=-div(y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla(\,\cdot\,))$$

namely the Green's function of the extension problem

$$\begin{cases} Du=0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \\ u=f \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{cases} DK(y,x,\xi)=0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \\ K(y,x,\xi)\to\delta_x(\xi) \quad \text{for } \ y\to 0 \end{cases}. \tag{23}$$

We will construct the Poisson kernel for D_g as in (18), namely the Green's function of the analogous extension problem

$$\begin{cases} D_g u=0 \text{ in } X \\ u=f \quad \text{on } M \end{cases}$$

i.e. K_g solves for $z\in X$ and $\xi\in M$

$$\begin{cases} D_g K_g(z,\xi)=0 \quad \text{in } X \\ K(z,\xi)\to\delta_x(\xi) \text{ for } \ y\to 0 \end{cases},$$

where $z=(y,x)\in X$ for z close to M. To that end, we identify

$$\xi\in M\cap U\subset U\cap X \text{ with } 0\in B^{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}_\epsilon(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n\subset B^{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}_\epsilon(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$$

for some small $\epsilon>0$, and write $K(z)=K(z,0)$. On $B^{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}_\epsilon(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ we then have

$$D_gK=-\frac{\partial_p}{\sqrt{g}}(\sqrt{g}g^{p,q}y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_qK)+E_gK=f\in yH_{-n-2\gamma-1}C^\infty \tag{24}$$

due (21), which relies on minimality $H_g=0$, where by definition

$$H_l=\{\varphi\in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+}\setminus\{0\})\mid \varphi \text{ is homogeneous of degree } l\}. \tag{25}$$

The next lemma allows us to solve homogeneous deficits homogeneously.

Lemma 4.1 (Homogeneous solvability, Dirichlet case)**.**
For $f_l\in yH_{l-1}$, $l\in\mathbb{N}-n-2\gamma$ there exists $K_{1+2\gamma+l}\in y^{2\gamma}H_{l+1}$ such, that

$$DK_{1+2\gamma+l}=f_l,$$

provided $\frac{1}{2}\neq\gamma\in(0,1)$.

Proof. First of all the Stone-Weierstraß Theorem implies

$$\langle Q^k_l(y,x)=y^{2\gamma+2k}P_l(x)\mid k,l\in\mathbb{N} \text{ and } P_l\in\Pi_l\rangle \underset{\text{dense}}{\subset} y^{2\gamma}C^0(\overline{B}_1(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+)$$

and an easy induction argument shows, that we have a unique representation

$$Q_l^k = \sum |z|^{2i} A_{2k+l-2i}$$

with D-harmonics of the form $A_m(y,x) = \sum y^{2\gamma+2l} P_{m-2l}(x)$, $DA_m = 0$. Since

$$y^{2\gamma} C^0(S_+^n) \underset{\text{dense}}{\subset} L^2_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(S_+^n),$$

we thus obtain a D-harmonic basis $E = \{e_k^i\}$ for $L^2_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(S_+^n)$ with

$$De_k^i = 0, \;\; k = deg(e_k^i), \;\; k \in \mathbb{N} + 2\gamma \text{ and } \;\; i \in \{1, \dots, d_k\},$$

where d_k denotes the dimension of the space of D-harmonics of degree k. We may assume, that e_k^i, e_k^j for $i \neq j$ are orthogonal with respect to the scalar product on $L^2_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(S_+^n)$. Moreover on S_+^n we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 =& - De_k^i = \partial_y(y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_y e_k^i) + y^{1-2\gamma}\Delta_x e_k^i = \nabla y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla e_k^i + y^{1-2\gamma}\Delta e_k^i \\
=& \nabla y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla e_k^i + y^{1-2\gamma}\frac{\Delta_{S^n}}{r^2} e_k^i + y^{1-2\gamma}[\partial_r^2 + \frac{n\partial_r}{r}]e_k^i \\
=& \nabla^{\perp}_{S_+^n} y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla^{\perp}_{S_+^n} e_k^i + div_{S_+^n}(y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla_{S_+^n} e_k^i) + k(k+n-1)y^{1-2\gamma}e_k^i,
\end{aligned}$$

whence due to

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^{\perp}_{S_+^n} y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla^{\perp}_{S_+^n} e_k^i =& \langle \nabla y^{1-2\gamma}, \nu_{S_+^n}\rangle \langle \nu_{S_+^n}, \nabla e_k^i\rangle = (1-2\gamma)y^{-2\gamma}\langle e_{n+1}, \nu_{S_+^n}\rangle r\partial_r e_k^i \\
=& (1-2\gamma)k y^{1-2\gamma} e_k^i
\end{aligned}$$

there holds for $D_{S_+^n} = -div_{S_+^n}(y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla_{S_+^n}\cdot)$

$$D_{S_+^n} e_k^i = k(k+n-2\gamma)y^{1-2\gamma}e_k^i.$$

Therefore $E = \{e_k^i\}$ is an orthogonal basis of $y^{2\gamma-1}D_{S_+^n}$-eigenfunctions with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_k = k(k+n-2\gamma).$$

By the same argument solving

$$\begin{cases} Du = f \in L^2_{y^{2\gamma-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+) & \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \\ u = 0 & \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

with homogeneous $f,\ u$ of degree $\lambda,\ \lambda+1+2\gamma$ is equivalent to solving

$$\begin{cases} D_{S_+^n} u = f + (\lambda+1+2\gamma)(\lambda+n+1)y^{1-2\gamma}u & \text{in} \quad S_+^n \\ u = 0 & \text{on} \quad \partial S_+^n = S^{n-1} \end{cases},$$

and thus, writing

$$u = \sum a_{i,k} e_k^i,\ y^{2\gamma-1}f = \sum b_{j,l} e_l^j$$

also equivalent to solving

$$\sum a_{i,k}(k(k+n-2\gamma) - (\lambda+1+2\gamma)(\lambda+n+1))e_k^i = \sum b_{j,l}e_l^j$$

and the latter system is always solvable in case

$$k(k+n-2\gamma) - (\lambda+1+2\gamma)(\lambda+n+1) \neq 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad k,\ n,\ \lambda \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{27}$$

This observation allows us to prove the lemma, by whose assumptions

$$deg(f_l) = \lambda = m - n - 2\gamma, \;\; m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

And we know

$$deg(e_k^i) = k = m' + 2\gamma, \;\; m' \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Plugging these values into (27), solvability of (26) is a consequence of

$$(m' + 2\gamma)(m' + n) - (m - n + 1)(m + 1 - 2\gamma) \neq 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad m', \;\; n, m \in \mathbb{N}$$

and this holds true for $\frac{1}{2} \neq \gamma \in (0, 1)$. Thus we have proven solvability of

$$\begin{cases} DK_{1+2\gamma+l} = f_l \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \\ K_{1+2\gamma+l} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \end{cases}$$

with $K_{1+2\gamma+l}$ being homogeneous of degree $1 + 2\gamma + l$. We are left with showing $K_{1+2\gamma+l} \in y^{2\gamma} H_{l+1}$. But this follows easily from proposition 4.2 below. ■

Now, recalling (24), we may use lemma 4.1 to solve (23) successively, since

$$D_g K_{1+2\gamma+l} = f_l + (D_g - D)K_{1+2\gamma+l} \in f_l + yH_l C^\infty$$

due to (21) and $K_{1+2\gamma+l} \in y^{2\gamma} H_{l+1}$. Let

$$\eta_\xi : \overline{X} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+, \;\; supp(\eta_\xi) = B_\epsilon^+(\xi) = B_\epsilon^{g,+}(\xi) \quad \text{for} \quad M \ni \xi \sim 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \;\; \text{and} \;\; \epsilon > 0 \;\; \text{small} \tag{28}$$

denote a suitable cut-off function. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we then find

$$K_g = \eta_\xi (K + \sum_{l=-n-2\gamma}^{m+2-2\gamma} K_{1+2\gamma+l}) + \kappa_m$$

for a weak solution

$$\begin{cases} D_g \kappa_m = -D_g \left(\eta_\xi (K + \sum_{l=-n-2\gamma}^{m+2-2\gamma} K_{1+2\gamma+l}) \right) = h_m \quad \text{in} \quad X \\ \kappa_m = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad M \end{cases}$$

with $h_m \in yC^{m,\alpha}$. The following weak regularity statement will be sufficient for our purpose.

Proposition 4.2. *[Higher regularity for the Dirichlet problem]*
Let $h \in yC^{2k+3,\alpha}(X)$ and $u \in W^{1,2}_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(X)$ be a weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} D_g u = h \quad in \quad X \\ u = 0 \quad on \quad M \end{cases} .$$

Then u is of class $y^{2\gamma} C^{2k,\beta}(X)$, provided $H_g = 0$.

Putting these facts together before giving the proof of proposition 4.2, we have the existence of K_g and can describe its asymptotic as follows.

Corollary 4.3 (Asymptotics of the Poisson kernel K_g)**.**
Let $\frac{1}{2} \neq \gamma \in (0, 1)$. We then have the existence of K_g and may expand in g-normal Fermi-coordinates

$$K_g(z, \xi) \; \in \eta_\xi(z) \left(p_{n,\gamma} \frac{y^{2\gamma}}{|z|^{n+2\gamma}} + \sum_{l=-n-2\gamma}^{2m+5-2\gamma} y^{2\gamma} H_{1+l}(z) \right) + y^{2\gamma} C^{2m,\alpha}(X)$$

with $H_l \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \setminus \{0\})$ being homogeneous of order l and $p_{n,\gamma}$ is as in (13), provided $H_g = 0$.

PROOF of proposition 4.2
We use the Moser iteration argument. For this, we first let $p,q=1,\dots,n+1$ and $i,j=1,\dots,n$ such, that $g_{n+1,i}=g_{y,i}=0$. The statement clearly holds by standard local regularity away from the boundary, since D_g is strongly elliptic there. Now fixing a point $\xi\in M$ and for $0<r_1<r_2\ll 1$ a cut-off function

$$\eta\in C_0^\infty(B^+_{r_2}(0),\mathbb{R}_+),\ \ \eta\equiv 1 \text{ on } B^+_{r_1}(0)\quad \text{where}\quad M\ni\xi\sim 0\in\mathbb{R}^n,$$

we pass to g-normal Fermi-coordinates around ξ and estimate for some $\lambda\geq 2$ and $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n$

$$\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\nabla_z(|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}\eta)|^2\leq 2\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\nabla_z|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|^2\eta^2+2\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\partial_x^\alpha u|^\lambda|\nabla_z\eta|^2 \tag{29}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\nabla_z|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|^2\eta^2=&\frac{\lambda^2}{4}\int y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla_z\partial_x^\alpha u\nabla_z\partial_x^\alpha u|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\lambda-2}\eta^2\\ =&\frac{\lambda^2}{4(\lambda-1)}\int y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla_z\partial_x^\alpha u\nabla_z(\partial_x^\alpha u|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\lambda-2}\eta^2)-\frac{\lambda^2}{2(\lambda-1)}\int y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla_z\partial_x^\alpha u\partial_x^\alpha u|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\lambda-2}\nabla_z\eta\eta\\ \leq&\frac{\lambda^2}{4(\lambda-1)}\int y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla_z\partial_x^\alpha u\nabla_z(\partial_x^\alpha u|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\lambda-2}\eta^2)\\ &+\frac{\lambda^2}{8}\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\nabla_z\partial_x^\alpha u|^2|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\lambda-2}\eta^2+\frac{\lambda^2}{2(\lambda-1)^2}\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\partial_x^\alpha u|^\lambda|\nabla_z\eta|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Absorbing the second summand above this implies

$$\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\nabla_z(|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\frac{\lambda}{2}})|^2\eta^2\leq\frac{\lambda^2}{2(\lambda-1)}\int D(\partial_x^\alpha u)\partial_x^\alpha u|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\lambda-2}\eta^2+\frac{\lambda^2}{(\lambda-1)^2}\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\partial_x^\alpha u|^\lambda|\nabla_z\eta|^2. \tag{30}$$

Due to $D(\partial_x^\alpha u)=\partial_x^\alpha Du$, and the structure of the metric

$$\begin{aligned}\int\partial_x^\alpha(Du)\partial_x^\alpha u|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\lambda-2}\eta^2=&\int\partial_x^\alpha(D_gu)\partial_x^\alpha u|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\lambda-2}\eta^2-\int\partial_x^\alpha((D_g-D)u)\partial_x^\alpha u|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\lambda-2}\eta^2\\ =&\int\partial_x^\alpha[h+\frac{\partial_p\sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{g}}y^{1-2\gamma}g^{p,q}\partial_q u+y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_i((g^{i,j}-\delta^{i,j})\partial_j u)\\ &-\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}\frac{\partial_y\sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{g}}y^{-2\gamma}u]\partial_x^\alpha u|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\lambda-2}\eta^2\\ =&I_1+\ldots+I_4.\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

We may assume $\nabla_z^k\eta\leq\frac{C}{\epsilon^k}$ for $k=0,1,2$, where $\epsilon=r_2-r_1$. Then

(i) $|I_1|\leq C\int|\nabla_x^{|\alpha|}h||\nabla_x^{|\alpha|}u|^{\lambda-1}\eta^2$

(ii) using integrations by parts and (21)

$$\begin{aligned}|I_2|\leq&|\int y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_q\partial_x^\alpha(\frac{\partial_p\sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{g}}g^{p,q}u)\partial_x^\alpha u|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\lambda-2}\eta^2|+|\int y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_x^\alpha(\partial_q(\frac{\partial_p\sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{g}}g^{p,q})u)\partial_x^\alpha u|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\lambda-2}\eta^2|\\ \leq&|\int y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_y\partial_x^\alpha(\frac{\partial_y\sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{g}}u)\partial_x^\alpha u|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\lambda-2}\eta^2|+\frac{C_{|\alpha|}}{\lambda}\sum_{m\leq|\alpha|}\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\nabla_x^m u||\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}}|\nabla_x|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|\eta^2\\ &+C_{|\alpha|}\sum_{m\leq|\alpha|}\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\nabla_x^m u||\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\lambda-1}[|\nabla_x\eta|\eta+\eta^2]\\ \leq&\frac{C_{|\alpha|}}{\lambda}\sum_{m\leq|\alpha|}\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\nabla_x^m u|^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|\nabla_z|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|\eta^2+C_{|\alpha|}\sum_{m\leq|\alpha|}\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\nabla_x^m u|^\lambda[|\nabla_z\eta|\eta+\eta^2]\end{aligned}$$

(iii) using integration by parts and recalling $i,j=1,\dots,n$

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_3| \leq & \frac{C}{\lambda}\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\partial_x^\alpha((g^{i,j}-\delta^{i,j})\partial_j u)||\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}}|\partial_i|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|\eta^2 \\
& + C\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\partial_x^\alpha((g^{i,j}-\delta^{i,j})\partial_j u)||\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\lambda-1}|\partial_i\eta|\eta \\
\leq & \frac{C}{\lambda^2}\sup_{B_{r_2}^+}|g^{i,j}-\delta^{i,j}|\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\partial_i|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}||\partial_j|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|\eta^2 \\
& + \frac{C_{|\alpha|}}{\lambda}\sum_{m\leq|\alpha}\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\nabla_x^m u||\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\frac{\lambda-2}{2}}|\nabla_x|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|\eta^2 \\
& + \frac{C}{\lambda}\sup_{B_{r_2}^+}|g^{i,j}-\delta^{i,j}|\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\partial_j|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}||\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|\partial_i\eta|\eta \\
& + C_{|\alpha|}\sum_{m\leq|\alpha}\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\nabla_x^m u|^\lambda|\nabla_x\eta|\eta
\end{aligned}$$

(iv) $|I_4|\leq C_{|\alpha|}\sum_{m\leq|\alpha|}\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\nabla_x^m u|^\lambda\eta^2$ using (21).

Applying Hölder's and Young's inequality to (i)-(vi) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^4|I_i| \leq & \frac{C\sup_{B_{r_2}^+}|g-\delta|}{\lambda^2}\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\nabla_z|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|^2\eta^2 + \frac{C_{|\alpha|}}{\epsilon^2}\sum_{k\leq|\alpha|}\|\nabla_x^k u\|^\lambda_{L^\lambda_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B_{r_2}^+)} \\
& + C\|y^{2\gamma-1}\nabla_x^{|\alpha|}h\|_{L^\lambda_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B_{r_2}^+)}\|\nabla_x^{|\alpha|}u\|^{\lambda-1}_{L^\lambda_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B_{r_2}^+)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We may assume $C\sup_{B_{r_2}^+}|g-\delta|<\frac{1}{2}$, whence in view of (30) and (31)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\nabla_z|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}|^2\eta^2 \leq & \frac{C_{|\alpha|}\lambda}{\epsilon^2}\sum_{k\leq|\alpha|}\|\nabla_x^k u\|^\lambda_{L^\lambda_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B_{r_2}^+)} \\
& + C\lambda\|y^{2\gamma-1}\nabla_x^{|\alpha|}h\|_{L^\lambda_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B_{r_2}^+)}\|\nabla_x^{|\alpha|}u\|^{\lambda-1}_{L^\lambda_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B_{r_2}^+)},
\end{aligned}$$

so (29) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\int y^{1-2\gamma}|\nabla_z(|\partial_x^\alpha u|^{\frac{\lambda}{2}}\eta)|^2 \leq & \frac{C_{|\alpha|}\lambda}{\epsilon^2}\sum_{k\leq|\alpha|}\|\nabla_x^k u\|^\lambda_{L^\lambda_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B_{r_2}^+)} \\
& + C\lambda\|y^{2\gamma-1}\nabla_x^{|\alpha|}h\|_{L^\lambda_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B_{r_2}^+)}\|\nabla_x^{|\alpha|}u\|^{\lambda-1}_{L^\lambda_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B_{r_2}^+)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

The weighted Sobolev inequality of Fabes-Kenig-Seraponi [20] Theorem 1.2 with $\kappa=\frac{n+1}{n}$ then shows

$$\begin{aligned}
r_2^{-\frac{n+2\gamma}{n+1}}\|\partial_x^\alpha u\|^\lambda_{L^{\kappa\lambda}_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B_{r_1}^+)} \leq & \frac{C_{|\alpha|}\lambda}{\epsilon^2}\sum_{k\leq|\alpha|}\|\nabla_x^k u\|^\lambda_{L^\lambda_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B_{r_2}^+)} \\
& + C\lambda\|y^{2\gamma-1}\nabla_x^{|\alpha|}h\|_{L^\lambda_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B_{r_2}^+)}\|\nabla_x^{|\alpha|}u\|^{\lambda-1}_{L^\lambda_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B_{r_2}^+)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By rescaling we may assume for some $0<\epsilon_0\ll 1$, that

$$\|u\|_{L^2_{y^{1-2\gamma}}} + \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha|}\|y^{2\gamma-1}\nabla_x^k h\|_{L^\infty_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B^+_{(2+|\alpha|)\epsilon_0})} = 1, \tag{33}$$

and putting $\lambda_i = 2(\frac{n+1}{n})^i$ and $\rho_i = \epsilon_0(1+\frac{1}{2^i})$ we obtain

$$\|\nabla_x^{|\alpha|}u\|_{L^{\lambda_{i+1}}_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B^+_{\rho_{i+1}})} \leq \sqrt[\lambda_i]{C_{|\alpha|,\epsilon_0}\lambda_i 2^{2i}}\cdot \sup_{m\leq|\alpha|}[\|\nabla_x^m u\|_{L^{\lambda_i}_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B^+_{r_2})} + \|\nabla_x^m u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^{\lambda_i}_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B^+_{r_2})}],$$

where we have used $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{\lambda_i - 1}{\lambda_i} < 1$. Iterating this inequality then shows

$$\|\nabla_x^{|\alpha|}u\|_{L^\infty_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B^+_{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_{\alpha,\epsilon_0}(1+\sup_{m\leq|\alpha|}\|\nabla_x^m u\|_{L^2_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B^+_{2\epsilon_0})}) \leq C_{\alpha,\epsilon_0},$$

where the last inequality follows from iterating (32) with $\lambda = 2$ and (33). Rescaling back we conclude

$$\sum_{k=0}^m \|\nabla_x^k u\|_{L^\infty_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B^+_{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_{m,\epsilon_0}[\|u\|_{L^2_{y^{1-2\gamma}}} + \sum_{k=0}^m \|y^{2\gamma-1}\nabla_x^k h\|_{L^\infty_{y^{1-2\gamma}}}]. \tag{34}$$

Note, that $D(\partial_x^\alpha u) = \partial_x^\alpha h + \partial_x^\alpha((D-D_g)u)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_x^\alpha((D-D_g)u) =& \partial_x^\alpha[\frac{\partial_p\sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{g}}y^{1-2\gamma}g^{p,q}\partial_q u + \partial_i(y^{1-2\gamma}(g^{i,j}-\delta^{i,j})\partial_j u) - \frac{n-2\gamma}{2}\frac{\partial_y\sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{g}}y^{-2\gamma}u]\\ =&\partial_q\partial_x^\alpha(\frac{\partial_p\sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{g}}y^{1-2\gamma}g^{p,q}u) - \partial_x^\alpha(\partial_q(\frac{\partial_p\sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{g}}y^{1-2\gamma}g^{p,q})u)\\ &+\partial_i\partial_x^\alpha(y^{1-2\gamma}(g^{i,j}-\delta^{i,j})\partial_j u) - \frac{n-2\gamma}{2}\partial_x^\alpha(\frac{\partial_y\sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{g}}y^{-2\gamma}u).\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

In particular, since $-\partial_p(y^{1-2\gamma}g^{p,q}\partial_q v) = Dv - \partial_i(y^{1-2\gamma}(g^{i,j}-\delta^{i,j})v)$ we may write

$$\partial_p(y^{1-2\gamma}g^{p,q}\partial_q\partial_x^\alpha u) = \partial_x^\alpha h + h^\alpha + \sum \partial_p h_p^\alpha,$$

where h^α, h_p^α depend only on $x-$derivatives of u of order up to $|\alpha|$, and due to (21), (34), there holds

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=0}^m \|\frac{h^\alpha}{y^{1-2\gamma}}, \frac{h_p^\alpha}{y^{1-2\gamma}}\|_{L^\infty_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(B^+_{\epsilon_0})} \leq C_{m,\epsilon_0}[\|u\|_{L^2_{y^{1-2\gamma}}} + \sum_{k=0}^m \|y^{2\gamma-1}\nabla_x^k h\|_{L^\infty_{y^{1-2\gamma}}}] \text{ for all } m\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Then Zamboni[46] Theorem 5.2 shows Hölder regularity, i.e. for all $m\in\mathbb{N}$

$$\sum_{k=0}^m \|\nabla_x^k u\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(B^+_{\frac{\epsilon_0}{2}})} \leq C_{m,\epsilon_0}[\|u\|_{L^2_{y^{1-2\gamma}}} + \sum_{k=0}^m \|y^{2\gamma-1}\nabla_x^k h\|_{L^\infty_{y^{1-2\gamma}}}]. \tag{36}$$

This allows us to integrate the equation directly. Indeed from (35) we have

$$D(\partial_x^\alpha u) = \partial_x^\alpha h + \partial_x^\alpha((D-D_g)u) = \partial_x^\alpha h + \partial_y(y^{2-2\gamma}f_1^\alpha) + y^{1-2\gamma}f_2^\alpha,$$

where by definition

$$f_1^\alpha = \partial_x^\alpha(\frac{\partial_y\sqrt{g}}{y\sqrt{g}}u)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}f_2^\alpha =&\partial_i\partial_x^\alpha(\frac{\partial_j\sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{g}}g^{i,j}u) - \partial_x^\alpha(y^{2\gamma-1}\partial_q(\frac{\partial_p\sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{g}}y^{1-2\gamma}g^{p,q})u)\\ &+\partial_i\partial_x^\alpha((g^{i,j}-\delta^{i,j})\partial_j u) - \frac{n-2\gamma}{2}\partial_x^\alpha(\frac{\partial_y\sqrt{g}}{y\sqrt{g}}u).\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$-\partial_y(y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_y\partial_x^\alpha u) = \partial_y(y^{2-2\gamma}f_1^\alpha) + y^{1-2\gamma}(f_2^\alpha + \Delta_x\partial_x^\alpha u + y^{2\gamma-1}\partial_\alpha^x h)$$

and we obtain

$$\partial_x^\alpha u(y,x) = y^{2\gamma}\bar{u}_0^\alpha(x) - \int_0^y \sigma \tilde{f}_1^\alpha(\sigma,x)dV - \int_0^y \sigma^{2\gamma-1}\int_0^\sigma \tau^{1-2\gamma}\tilde{f}_2^\alpha(\tau,x)d\tau dV, \tag{37}$$

where by definition we may write with smooth coefficients $f_{i,\beta}$

$$\tilde{f}_1^\alpha = \sum_{|\beta|\leq|\alpha|} f_{1,\beta}\partial_x^\beta u \text{ and } \tilde{f}_2^\alpha = \frac{\partial_x^\alpha h}{y^{1-2\gamma}} + \sum_{|\beta|\leq|\alpha|+2} f_{2,\beta}\partial_x^\beta u. \tag{38}$$

Let $h \in yC^{l,\lambda'}$. Then (36) shows

$$\forall |\alpha| \leq l: \ \nabla_x^{|\alpha|} u \in C^{0,\lambda},$$

whence $\forall\, |\alpha| \leq l-2: \ \tilde{f}_i^\alpha \in C^{0,\lambda}$ due to (38). In particular (37) implies

$$\partial_x^\alpha u(y,x) = y^{2\gamma}\bar{u}_0^\alpha(x) + o(y^{2\gamma}),$$

so $\bar{u}_0^\alpha \in C^{l+2,\lambda}$ anyway by interior regularity. We define

$$\bar{u}^\alpha = y^{-2\gamma}\partial_x^\alpha u, \ \bar{f}_1^\alpha = y^{-2\gamma}f_1^\alpha, \ \bar{f}_2^\alpha = y^{-2\gamma}\tilde{f}_2^\alpha. \tag{39}$$

We then find from (37), that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}^\alpha(y,x) =& \bar{u}_0^\alpha(x) - y^{-2\gamma}\int_0^y \sigma^{1+2\gamma}\bar{f}_1^\alpha(\sigma,x)dV - y^{-2\gamma}\int_0^y \sigma^{2\gamma-1}\int_0^\sigma \tau \bar{f}_2^\alpha(\tau,x)d\tau dV \\ =& \bar{u}_0^\alpha(x) + \bar{u}_1^\alpha(y,x) + \bar{u}_2^\alpha(y,x), \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where according to (38),(39) we may write with smooth coefficients $f_{i,\beta}$

$$\bar{f}_1^\alpha = \sum_{|\beta|\leq|\alpha|} f_{1,\beta}\bar{u}^\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{f}_2^\alpha = \frac{\partial_x^\alpha h}{y} + \sum_{|\beta|\leq|\alpha|+2} f_{2,\beta}\bar{u}^\beta. \tag{41}$$

(37) and $\forall\, |\alpha| \leq l-2: \ \tilde{f}_i^\alpha \in C^{0,\lambda}$ then already show

$$\forall |\alpha| \leq l-2: \ \bar{u}^\alpha \in C^{0,\lambda},$$

and we may assume inductively

$$\forall |\alpha| \leq l-2-2m: \ \partial_y^{2m}\bar{u}^\alpha \in C^{0,\lambda}.$$

whence according to (41)

$$\forall |\alpha| \leq l-2-2(m+1): \ \partial_y^{2m}\bar{f}_i^\alpha \in C^{0,\lambda}.$$

Then (40) implies via Taylor expansion

$$\forall |\alpha| \leq l-2-2(m+1): \ \partial_y^{2m+2}\bar{u}_i^\alpha, \ \partial_y^{2m+2}\bar{u}^\alpha \in C^{0,\alpha}.$$

Thus we have proven $\forall\, |\alpha| \leq l-2-2m: \ \partial_y^{2m}\partial_x^\alpha u \in C^{0,\lambda}$ for some $\lambda > 0$. However, since there are only even powers in the y-derivative, we only find $u \in C^{l-3,\lambda}$ for $l \in 2\mathbb{N}$. The proof is thereby complete.■

4.2 Green's function for D_g under weighted Neumann boundary condition

In this subsection we study the Green's function Γ_g. As in the previous one, we consider the existence issue and its asymptotics. Furthermore still as in the case of the Poisson kernel, to do that we use the

method of Lee-Parker[35] and have the same difficulties to overcome as in the previous subsection. We first note that on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$,

$$\Gamma(y,x,\xi)=\Gamma^\gamma(y,x,\xi)=g_{n,\gamma}\frac{1}{(y^2+|x-\xi|^2)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}},\ \ (y,x)\in\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+,\ \ \xi\in\mathbb{R}^n \tag{42}$$

for some $g_{n,\gamma}>0$ is the Green's function to the dual problem

$$\begin{cases} Du=0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \\ -d^*_\gamma \lim_{y\to 0} y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_y u(y,\cdot)=f \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{cases} D\Gamma(,\xi)=0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+,\ \ \xi\in\mathbb{R}^n \\ -d^*_\gamma \lim_{y\to 0} y^{1-2\gamma}\Gamma(y,x,\xi)=\delta_x(\xi),\ \ x,\ \xi\in\mathbb{R}^n \end{cases}.$$

We will construct the Green's function Γ_g for the analogous problem

$$\begin{cases} D_g u=0 \quad \text{in} \quad X \\ -d^*_\gamma \lim_{y\to 0} y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_y u(y,\cdot)=f \quad \text{on} \quad M \end{cases}$$

for $D_g=-div_g(y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla_g(\,\cdot\,))+E_g$, i.e. for $z\in X$ and $\xi\in M$

$$\begin{cases} D_g\Gamma_g(\cdot,\xi)=0 \quad \text{in} \quad X \\ -d^*_\gamma \lim_{y\to 0} y^{1-2\gamma}\Gamma_g(z,\xi)=\delta_x(\xi) \end{cases}, \tag{43}$$

where $z=(y,x)\in X$ in g-normal Fermi-coordinates close to M. To that end we identify

$$\xi\in M\cap U\subset U\cap X \text{ with } 0\in B^{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}_\epsilon(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^n\subset B^{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}_\epsilon(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$$

as in the previous subsection, and write $\Gamma(z)=\Gamma(z,0)$. On $B^{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}_\epsilon(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ we then have

$$D_g\Gamma=-\frac{\partial_p}{\sqrt{g}}(\sqrt{g}g^{p,q}y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_q\Gamma)+E_g\Gamma=f\in y^{1-2\gamma}H_{-n+2\gamma-1}C^\infty. \tag{44}$$

Again we may solve homogeneous deficits homogeneously.

Lemma 4.4 (Homogeneous solvability, Neumann case)**.**
For $f_l\in y^{1-2\gamma}H_{l+2\gamma-1}$, $l\in\mathbb{N}-n$ there exists $\Gamma_{1+2\gamma+l}\in H_{1+2\gamma+l}$ such, that

$$D\Gamma_{1+2\gamma+l}=f_l \quad \textit{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{y\to 0} y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_y\Gamma_{1+2\gamma+l}=0 \ \textit{on}\ \mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\},$$

provided $\frac{1}{2}\neq\gamma\in(0,1)$.

Proof. This time we use

$$\langle Q^k_l(y,x)=y^{2k}P_l(x)\mid\ \ k,\ l\in\mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad P_l\in\Pi_l\rangle \underset{\text{dense}}{\subset} C^0(\overline{B}^{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}_1(0)\cap\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+),$$

to obtain a orthogonal basis $E=\{e^i_k\}$ for $L^2_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(S^n_+)$ consisting of D-harmonics of the form

$$e^i_k=A_m\lfloor_{S^n_+},\quad A_m(y,x)=\sum y^{2l}P_{k-2l}(x),\ \ DA_m=0$$

and we have $D_{S^n_+}e^i_k=k(k+n-2\gamma)y^{1-2\gamma}e^i_k$. As before solving

$$\begin{cases} Du=f\in L^2_{y^{2\gamma-1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \\ \lim_{y\to 0} y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_y u=0 \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases}$$

with homogeneous $f,\ u$ of degree $\lambda,\ \lambda+1+2\gamma$ is, when writing

$$u=\sum a_{i,k}e^i_k\,,y^{2\gamma-1}f=\sum b_{j,l}e^j_l\,,$$

equivalent to solving

$$\sum a_{i,k}(k(k+n-2\gamma)-(\lambda+1+2\gamma)(\lambda+n+1))e^i_k=\sum b_{j,l}e^j_l$$

and the latter system is always solvable in case

$$k(k+n-2\gamma)-(\lambda+1+2\gamma)(\lambda+n+1)\neq 0\ \text{ for all }\ k,\ n,\ \lambda\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{45}$$

As for proving the lemma there holds

$$deg(f_l)=\lambda=m-n\quad\text{and}\quad deg(e^i_k)=k=m'\quad\text{for some}\quad m,\ m'\in\mathbb{N}$$

and plugging this into (45) we verify

$$m'(m'+n-2\gamma)-(m-n+1+2\gamma)(m+1)\neq 0\quad\text{for all}\quad n\,,m,\ m'\in\mathbb{N},$$

provided $\frac{1}{2}\neq\gamma\in(0,1)$. This shows homogeneous solvability, whereas regularity of the solution follows from proposition 4.5 below. ■

Analogously to the case of the Poisson kernel we may solve (43) successively using lemma 4.4 and obtain

$$\Gamma_g=\eta_\xi(\Gamma+\sum_{l=-n}^{m}\Gamma_{1+2\gamma+l})+\gamma_m$$

for $m\geq 0$ where η_ξ is as in (28) and a weak solution

$$\begin{cases}D_g\gamma_m=-D_g\left(\eta_\xi(\Gamma+\sum_{l=-n}^{m}\Gamma_{1+2\gamma+l})\right)=y^{1-2\gamma}h_m\quad\text{in}\quad X\\ \lim_{y\to 0}y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_y\gamma_m=0\quad\text{on}\quad M\end{cases}$$

with $h_m\in C^{m,\alpha}$. As in the previous subsection, the following weak regularity statement will be sufficient for our purpose.

Proposition 4.5. *[Higher regularity for the Neumann problem]*
Let $h\in y^{1-2\gamma}C^{2k+3,\alpha}(X)$ and $u\in W^{1,2}_{y^{1-2\gamma}}(X)$ be a weak solution of

$$\begin{cases}D_gu=h\quad in\quad X\\ \lim_{y\to 0}y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_yu=0\quad on\quad M\end{cases}.$$

Then u is of class $C^{2k,\beta}(X)$, provided $H_g=0$.

As in the previous subsection, putting these facts together before presenting the proof of proposition 4.5, we have the existence of Γ_g and can describe its asymptotics as follows.

Corollary 4.6 (Asymptotics of the Green's function Γ_g)**.**
Let $\frac{1}{2}\neq\gamma\in(0,1)$. We then have the existence of Γ_g and may expand in g-normal Fermi-coordinates

$$\Gamma_g(z,\xi)\ \in\eta_\xi(z)\left(\frac{g_{n,\gamma}}{|z|^{n-2\gamma}}+\sum_{l=-n}^{2m+3}H_{1+2\gamma+l}(z)\right)+C^{2m,\alpha}(X)$$

with $H_l\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+\setminus\{0\})$ being homogeneous of order l and $g_{n,\gamma}$ is as in (42), provided $H_g=0$.

PROOF of proposition 4.5
As in the previous subsection, we use the Moser iteration argument. Indeed, by exactly the same arguments as the ones used when proving proposition 4.2 we recover Hölder regularity (36) and integrating the equation directly as in the proof of proposition 4.2 we find the analogue of (37), namely

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_x^\alpha u(y,x) =& u_0^\alpha(x) - \int_0^y \sigma \tilde{f}_1^\alpha(\sigma,x)dV - \int_0^y \sigma^{2\gamma-1}\int_0^\sigma \tau^{1-2\gamma}\tilde{f}_2^\alpha(\tau,x)d\tau dV \\ =& u_0^\alpha(x) + u_1^\alpha(y,x) + u_2^\alpha(y,x),\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where $\tilde{f}_1,\ \tilde{f}_2$ are given by (38). Let $h \in y^{1-2\gamma}C^{l,\lambda'}$. Then (36) and (38) show

$$\forall |\alpha| \leq l-2: \ \ \tilde{f}_i^\alpha \in C^{0,\lambda}.$$

In particular (46) implies

$$\partial_x^\alpha u(y,x) = u_0^\alpha(x) + O(y),$$

so $u_0^\alpha \in C^{l+2,\lambda}$ anyway by interior regularity and we may assume inductively

$$\forall |\alpha| \leq l-2-2m: \ \ \partial_y^{2m}\partial_x^\alpha u \in C^{0,\lambda},$$

whence according to (38)

$$\forall |\alpha| \leq l-2-2(m+1): \ \ \partial_y^{2m}\tilde{f}_i^\alpha \in C^{0,\lambda}.$$

Then (46) implies via Taylor expansion

$$\forall |\alpha| \leq l-2-2(m+1): \ \ \partial_y^{2m+2}u_i^\alpha,\ \partial_y^{2m+2}\partial_x^\alpha u \in C^{0,\lambda}$$

Thus we have proven $\forall |\ \alpha| \leq l-2-2m: \ \ \partial_y^{2m}\partial_x^\alpha u \in C^{0,\lambda}$ for some $\lambda > 0$. However, since there are only even powers in the y-derivative, we only find $u \in C^{l-3,\lambda}$ for $l \in 2\mathbb{N}$. The proof is thereby complete. ■

4.3 The Green's function G_h^γ of P_h^γ

In this short subsection, we study the Green's function G_h^γ of P_h^γ. As in the previous one, we derive its existence and asymptotics. Indeed as a consequence of the results of the previous subsections and formula (22), we have the following corollary

Corollary 4.7 (Asymptotics of the Green's function G_h^γ)**.**
Let $\frac{1}{2} \neq \gamma \in (0,1)$. We then have the existence of G_h^γ and may expand in h-normal-coordinates

$$G_h^\gamma(\xi) \ \in \eta_\xi(x)\left(\frac{g_{n,\gamma}}{|x|^{n-2\gamma}} + \sum_{l=-n}^{2m+3} H_{1+2\gamma+l}(x)\right) + C^{2m,\alpha}(M)$$

with $H_l \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ being homogeneous of order l, provided $H_g = 0$.

5 Bubbles and related interaction estimates

In this section, we introduce some bubbles and establish some related interaction estimates. Indeed, we recall the definition of the standard bubbles on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ and their interpretation as a suitable interaction of standard bubbles on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Furthermore we derive new sharp estimates of independent interest for the interaction of the standard bubbles on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and use the latter to drive sharp estimates for the standard bubbles on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$. Moreover we recall the Schoen's bubbles associated to the standard bubbles on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$, and use them to define other bubbles which talk to the local formulation of the problem and call them Projective bubbles. Finally, we derive some sharp interaction estimates for the projective bubbles.

5.1 The standard bubbles on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$

In this subsection, we deal with the standard bubbles on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$. We recall that they are the natural extension $\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ of the fractional bubble $\delta_{a,\lambda}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to D ($a \in \mathbb{R}^n$), and are given by the convolution of the Poisson kernel K of D, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda}(y,x) =& p_{n,\gamma}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{y^{2\gamma}}{(y^2+|x-\xi|^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}}(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2|\xi-a|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}\\ =& p_{n,\gamma}y^{-\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\frac{y^{-1}}{1+y^{-2}|x-\xi|^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2|\xi-a|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}d\xi.\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

The last integral can be treated as a standard bubble interaction on $\mathbb{R}^n$. This interpretation will be used to derive sharp estimate for $\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda}$. In order to do that we will need the following interaction estimate for the standard bubbles on $\mathbb{R}^n$, which are new, sharp and of independent interest. We anticipate that its proof is postponed to the appendix given by section 8.

Lemma 5.1 (The standard interaction estimates on $\mathbb{R}^n$)**.**
For $c^\gamma_{n,3}$ given by (9) and $\varepsilon_{i,j} = (\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i-a_j|^2)^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}$, there holds

(i) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\delta^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}_{a_i,\lambda_i}\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j} = c^\gamma_{n,3}\varepsilon_{i,j} + O(\min(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j},\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^\gamma\varepsilon^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}}_{i,j})$

(ii) $\lambda_k\partial_{\lambda_k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\delta^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}_{a_i,\lambda_i}\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j} = c^\gamma_{n,3}\lambda_k\partial_{\lambda_k}\varepsilon_{i,j} + O(\min(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j},\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^\gamma\varepsilon^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}}_{i,j})$

(iii) $\nabla_{a_i}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\delta^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}_{a_i,\lambda_i}\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j} = c^\gamma_{n,3}\lambda_i\lambda_j(a_i-a_j)\varepsilon^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}_{i,j} + O(\min(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j},\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^\gamma\sqrt{\lambda_i\lambda_j}\varepsilon^{\frac{n+1}{n-2\gamma}}_{i,j})$

(iv) $\nabla^2_{a_k,a_l}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\delta^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}_{a_i,\lambda_i}\delta_{a_j,\lambda_j} = c^\gamma_{n,3}\lambda_i\lambda_j(id - \frac{(n+2-2\gamma)\lambda_i\lambda_j(a_i-a_j).(a_i-a_j)}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i-a_j|^2})\varepsilon^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}_{i,j}$
$+ O(\min(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j},\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^\gamma\lambda_i\lambda_j\varepsilon^{\frac{n+2}{n-2\gamma}}_{i,j})$.

Lemma 5.1 has the following impacts on the standard bubbles $\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$.

Corollary 5.2 (Rough estimates for the standard bubbles on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$)**.**
On $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ we have for $r_a = |z-a| = |(y,x-a)|$

(i) $\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda}(y,x) = O((\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2r_a^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}})$

(ii) $\partial_y\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda}(y,x) = O(\lambda^\gamma y^{2\gamma-1}(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2r_a^2})^{\frac{n}{2}})$

(iii) $\nabla_x\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda}(y,x) = O(\sqrt{\lambda}(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2r_a^2})^{\frac{n+1-2\gamma}{2}})$

(iv) $\nabla^2_x\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda}(y,x) = O(\lambda(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2r_a^2})^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}})$

Proof. Let $a_i = x, \lambda_i = \frac{1}{y}, a_j = 0$ and $\lambda_j = \lambda$. Then (47) and lemma 5.1 (i) show

$$\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda}(y,x) \le Cy^{-\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}\varepsilon_{i,j} = O(\frac{y^{-\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}{(\frac{1}{\lambda y}+\lambda y+\frac{\lambda}{y}|x-a|^2)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}),$$

whence (i) follows. From (13),(47) and lemma 5.1 (ii) we infer using $y\partial_y = -y^{-1}\partial_{y^{-1}}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
y\partial_y\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda}(y,x) &= p_{n,\gamma}y\partial_y(y^{-\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}\int \delta_{x,y^{-1}}^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}\delta_{a,\lambda})\\
&= y\partial_y y^{-\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}\frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\lambda y}+\lambda y+\frac{\lambda}{y}|x-a|^2)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}\\
&\quad + y^{-\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}y\partial_y\frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\lambda y}+\lambda y+\frac{\lambda}{y}|x-a|^2)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}} + O(\frac{\min(\frac{1}{\lambda y},\lambda y)^\gamma y^{-\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}{(\frac{1}{\lambda y}+\lambda y+\frac{\lambda}{y}|x-a|^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}})\\
&= -(n-2\gamma)(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2 r_a^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}[\frac{\lambda^2y^2}{1+\lambda^2r_a^2}+O((\frac{\min(1,\lambda^2y^2)}{1+\lambda^2r_a^2})^\gamma)],
\end{aligned}$$

whence (ii) follows. Likewise (iii), (iv) follow from (47) and lemma 5.1 (iii), (iv). ■

Corollary 5.3 (Sharp estimates for the standard bubbles on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$)**.**
On $B_\varepsilon(a)^c\cap\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ *we have for* $r_a = |z-a| = |(y,x-a)|$

(i) $\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda}(y,x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}r_a^{n-2\gamma}} + o_{\frac{1}{1+\lambda^{2\gamma}\varepsilon^n}}(\lambda^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}})$

(ii) $y\partial_y\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda}(y,x) = y\partial_y\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}r_a^{n-2\gamma}} + o_{\frac{1}{1+\lambda^{2\gamma}\varepsilon^n}}(\lambda^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}})$

(iii) $x\nabla_x\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda}(y,x) = x\nabla_x\frac{p1}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}r_a^{n-2\gamma}} + o_{\frac{1}{1+\lambda^{2\gamma}\varepsilon^n}}(\lambda^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}})$

Proof. Let $a_i = x, \lambda_i = \frac{1}{y}, a_j = 0$ and $\lambda_j = \lambda$. (13),(47) and Lemma 5.1 (i) give

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda}(y,x) &= y^{-\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}(\varepsilon_{i,j}+O(\min(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j},\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^\gamma\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}}))\\
&= \frac{y^{-\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}{(\frac{1}{\lambda y}+\lambda y+\frac{\lambda}{y}|x-a|^2)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}} + O(\frac{1}{(\lambda y)^\gamma}\frac{y^{-\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}{(\frac{1}{\lambda y}+\lambda y+\frac{\lambda}{y}|x-a|^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}})\\
&= (\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2r_a^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} + O(\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}r_a^n}),
\end{aligned}$$

whence (i) follows. Moreover we find from (47) and lemma 5.1 (ii) as before

$$y\partial_y\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda}(y,x) = -(n-2\gamma)(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda^2r_a^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}[\frac{\lambda^2y^2}{1+\lambda^2r_a^2}+O((\frac{\min(1,\lambda^2y^2)}{1+\lambda^2r_a^2})^\gamma)],$$

whence

$$y\partial_y\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda}(y,x) = y\partial_y\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}r_a^{n-2\gamma}} + {}^{O(\frac{1}{\lambda^2r_a^{n-2\gamma+2}}+\frac{1}{\lambda^{2\gamma}r_a^n})}\big/_{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}$$

and (ii) follows. (iii) follows analogously from (47) and lemma 5.1 (iii). ■

5.2 Schoen's bubbles and Projective bubbles

In this subsection we recall the Schoen's bubbles associated to the standard bubbles on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ for an asymptotically hyperbolic manifold (X,g^+) with minimal conformal infinity $(M,[h])$ with $n\geq 2$. Moreover we will use them to define an other type of bubbles whose construction is motived by the local interpretation of the problem under study, and call them *Projective bubbles*. Furthermore we will establish some interaction estimates for our Projective bubbles, which by the way coincide with the Schoen's

bubbles on the conformal infinity. Thus, because of (2), and minimality of the conformal infinity, we can consider a geodesic defining function y splitting the metric

$$g = y^2 g^+, \;\; g = dy^2 + h_y \;\text{ near }\; M \quad\text{and}\quad h = h_y \lfloor_M$$

in such a way, that $H_g = 0$. Moreover using the existence of conformal normal coordinates (see [27]), there exists for every $a \in M$ a conformal factor

$$0 < u_a \in C^\infty(M) \quad\text{satisfying}\quad \frac{1}{C} \leq u_a \leq C, \;\; u_a(a) = 1 \quad\text{and}\quad \nabla u_a(a) = 0,$$

inducing a conformal normal coordinate system close to a on M, in particular in normal coordinates with respect to

$$h_a = u_a^{\frac{4}{n-2\gamma}} h$$

we have for some small $\epsilon > 0$

$$h_a = \delta + O(|x|^2), \;\; \det h_a \equiv 1 \quad\text{on}\quad B_\epsilon^{h_a}(a).$$

As clarified in subsection 3.2 the conformal factor u_a then naturally extends onto X via

$$u_a = (\frac{y_a}{y})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}},$$

where y_a close to the boundary M is the unique geodesic defining function, for which

$$g_a = y_a^2 g^+, \;\; g_a = dy_a^2 + h_{a,y_a} \;\text{ near }\; M \quad\text{with}\quad h_a = h_{a,y_a} \lfloor_M$$

and there still holds $H_{g_a} = 0$. Consequently

$$g_a = \delta + O(y + |x|^2) \quad\text{and}\quad \det g_a = 1 + O(y^2) \;\text{ in }\; B_\epsilon^{g_a,+}(a).$$

With respect to h_a-normal coordinates $x = x_a$ centered at a, we define the standard bubble

$$\delta_{a,\lambda} = (\frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda^2 |x|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} \quad\text{on}\quad B_{4\rho_0}^{h_a}(a) \tag{48}$$

for some small $\rho_0 > 0$ and identifying $M \ni a \sim 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and the Schoen's bubble associated to $\delta_{a,\lambda}$ by

$$\varphi_{a,\lambda,\varepsilon} = \eta_{a,\varepsilon} \delta_{a,\lambda} + (1 - \eta_{a,\varepsilon}) \lambda^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}} G_{h_a}^\gamma \quad\text{on}\quad M \tag{49}$$

with a cut-off function in g_a-normal Fermi-coordinates ($\varepsilon > 0$ and small)

$$\eta_{a,\varepsilon} = \eta(\frac{y^2 + |x|^2}{\varepsilon^2}), \;\; \eta \equiv 1 \quad\text{on } [0,1] \quad\text{and}\quad \eta \equiv 0 \quad\text{on}\quad \mathbb{R}_{\geq 2}. \tag{50}$$

In what follows we will always choose

$$\varepsilon \sim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{k}} \text{ with } 1 \ll k \in \mathbb{N},$$

hence $\varphi_{a,\lambda,\varepsilon} = \varphi_{a,\lambda}$. We define our projective bubbles for X by $\overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a = K_{g_a} * \varphi_{a,\lambda}$, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} D_{g_a} \overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a = 0 \quad\text{in}\quad X \\ \overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a = \varphi_{a,\lambda} \quad\text{on}\quad M \end{cases} \tag{51}$$

namely the canonical extension of $\varphi_{a,\lambda}$ to X with respect to D_{g_a}. Using g_a-normal Fermi-coordinates

$$z = z_a = (y, x_a) = (y, x)$$

we consider as a second extension

$$\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^{\,a} = \eta_{a,\varepsilon}\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda} + (1-\eta_{a,\varepsilon})\lambda^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}\Gamma_a, \tag{52}$$

namely the Schoen's bubbles associated to the standard bubbles $\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$ solving

$$\begin{cases} D\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+ \\ \hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda} = \delta_{a,\lambda} \quad \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^n \\ -d^*_\gamma \lim_{y\to 0} y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_y \hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda} = c_{n,\gamma}\delta_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} \ \text{ on } \ \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases}.$$

Readily $\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^{\,a}$ enjoys analogous, but weaker identities, namely

$$\begin{cases} D_{g_a}\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^{\,a} = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad B^{g_a,+}_{2\varepsilon}(a) \setminus B^{g_a,+}_{\varepsilon}(a) \subset X \\ \widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^{\,a} = \varphi_{a,\lambda} \quad \text{on} \quad M \\ -d^*_\gamma \lim_{y\to 0} y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_y \widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^{\,a} = c_{n,\gamma}\eta_{a,\varepsilon}\delta_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} \end{cases}. \tag{53}$$

Finally, we set

$$v_{a,\lambda} = u_a\varphi_{a,\lambda},$$
$$G_a = G^\gamma_{h_a},$$

and

$$\overline{u}^a = K_{g_a} * u. \tag{54}$$

5.3 The interaction estimates for $v_{a,\lambda}$

In this subsection, we derive several interaction estimates for $v_{a,\lambda}$.

Lemma 5.4 (Higher interaction estimates)**.** *For $i \neq j$ there holds*

(i) $\int_M v^\alpha_{a_i,\lambda_i} v^\beta_{a_j,\lambda_j} dV_h = O(\varepsilon^\beta_{i,j})$ *for all* $\alpha+\beta = \frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}$, $\alpha > \frac{n}{n-2\gamma} > \beta > 0$

(ii) $\int v^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}}_{a_i,\lambda_i} v^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}}_{a_j,\lambda_j} = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}}_{i,j}\ln\varepsilon_{i,j})$.

Since the type of estimates stated in this lemma are standard, we delay their proof to the appendix.

Lemma 5.5 (The interaction estimates for $v_{a,\lambda}$)**.** *For*

(i) $e_{i,j} = \langle v_{a_i,\lambda_i}, v_{a_j,\lambda_j}\rangle_{P^\gamma_h}$

(ii) $\epsilon_{i,j} = \int_M (v_{a_i,\lambda_i})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} v_{a_j} dV_h$

(iii) $\varepsilon_{i,j} = \left(\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}+\lambda_i\lambda_j(G^\gamma_h)^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i,a_j)}\right)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}$

there holds

(i) $c \leq \frac{e_{i,j}}{\varepsilon_{i,j}}, \frac{\epsilon_{i,j}}{\varepsilon_{i,j}} \leq C$

(ii) $e_{i,j} = c_{n,\gamma}\epsilon_{i,j}(1+o_{\max(\frac{1}{\lambda_i},\frac{1}{\lambda_j})}(1))$

(iii) $e_{i,j} = c^\gamma_{n,4}\varepsilon_{i,j}(1+o_{\varepsilon_{i,j}}(1))$ *and* $\epsilon_{i,j} = c^\gamma_{n,3}\varepsilon_{i,j}(1+o_{\varepsilon_{i,j}}(1))$

PROOF. Writing abbreviatively $\varphi_k = \varphi_{a_k,\lambda_k}$ we first remark

$$\epsilon_{i,j} = \epsilon_{j,i} + o_{\max(\frac{1}{\lambda_i},\frac{1}{\lambda_j})}(\varepsilon_{i,j}). \tag{55}$$

We will prove (55) in the appendix. Then, since $e_{i,j}$ and $\varepsilon_{i,j}$ are symmetric in i and j, we may assume $\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_j}$ for the rest of the proof. Consider

$$e_{i,j} = \int_M P^\gamma_h(u_{a_i}\varphi_i)(u_{a_j}\varphi_j)dV_h = \int_M P^\gamma_{h_{a_i}} \varphi_i \frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}}\varphi_j dV_{h_{a_i}} = \langle \varphi_i, \frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}}\varphi_j\rangle_{P^\gamma_{h_{a_i}}}.$$

Denoting by $y = y_{a_i}$ the geodesic defining function attached to $h_{a_i} = u_{a_i}^{\frac{4}{n-2\gamma}} h$ and choosing close to a_i a corresponding g_{a_i}-normal Fermi-coordinate system $z = z_{a_i} = (y_{a_i}, x_{a_i}) = (y, x)$, $r = |z|$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{d^*_\gamma} e_{i,j} =& -\lim_{y\to 0}\int_M y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_y \widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i}\frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}}\varphi_j dV_{h_{a_i}} \\ &+ \int_X div_{g_{a_i}}(y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla_{g_{a_i}}(\overline{\varphi_i}^{a_i} - \widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i})\overline{\frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}}\varphi_j}^{a_i})dV_{g_{a_i}} = I_1 + I_2.\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Since $D_{g_{a_i}}\overline{\frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}}\varphi_j}^{a_i} = 0$ (for the meaning of $\overline{\frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}}\varphi_j}^{a_i}$, see (54)) by definition, we have

$$I_2 = -\int_X D_{g_{a_i}}(\overline{\varphi_i}^{a_i} - \widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i})\overline{\frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}}\varphi_j}^{a_i} dV_{g_{a_i}}$$

and there holds, as we will prove in the appendix,

$$|\overline{\frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}}\varphi_j}^{a_i}| \leq C\widetilde{\varphi_j}^{a_j} \quad \text{on} \quad B^{g_{a_i},+}_{\rho_0}(a_i). \tag{57}$$

Let us estimate

$$D_{g_{a_i}}(\overline{\varphi_i}^{a_i} - \widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i}) = D_{g_{a_i}}\widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i}.$$

Clearly $D_{g_{a_i}}\widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i} = 0$ on $B^{g_{a_i},+}_{2\varepsilon_i}(a_i)^c$, since $D_{g_{a_i}}\Gamma_{a_i} = 0$, whereas on $B^{g_{a_i},+}_{2\varepsilon}(a_i)$

$$\begin{aligned}D_{g_{a_i}}\widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a} =& D(\eta_{a_i,\varepsilon_i}(\hat{\delta}_{a_i,\lambda_i} - \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}}{r^{n-2\gamma}})) + (D_{g_{a_i}} - D)(\eta_{a_i,\varepsilon_i}\hat{\delta}_{a_i,\lambda_i} - (1-\eta_{a_i,\varepsilon_i})\lambda_i^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}\Gamma_{a_i}) \\ &- D_{g_{a_i}}((1-\eta_{a_i,\varepsilon_i})\frac{H_{a_i}}{\lambda_i^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}) = J_1 + J_2 + J_3\end{aligned}$$

writing $\Gamma_{a_i} = r^{2\gamma-n} + H_{a_i}$ in g_{a_i}-normal Fermi-coordinates thanks to subsection 4.2. Then

$$\begin{aligned}J_1 =& -div(y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla(\eta_{a_i,\varepsilon_i}(\hat{\delta}_{a_i,\lambda_i} - \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}}{r^{n-2\gamma}}))) \\ =& -\partial_y y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_y\eta_{a_i,\varepsilon_i}(\hat{\delta}_{a_i,\lambda_i} - \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}}{r^{n-2\gamma}}) + 2y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla\eta_{a_i,\varepsilon_i}\nabla(\hat{\delta}_{a_i,\lambda_i} - \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}}{r^{n-2\gamma}}) \\ &+ y^{1-2\gamma}\Delta\eta_{a_i,\varepsilon_i}(\hat{\delta}_{a_i,\lambda_i} - \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}}{r^{n-2\gamma}}),\end{aligned}$$

due to $D\hat{\delta}_{a_i,\lambda_i} = Dr^{2\gamma-n} = 0$. (50) and corollary 5.3 then show

$$J_1 = o_{\frac{1}{1+\lambda_i^{\frac{2\gamma}{2}}\varepsilon_i^n}}(\frac{y^{1-2\gamma}}{\varepsilon_i^2\lambda_i^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}) = O(y^{1-2\gamma}\widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i}),$$

whenever $\varepsilon_i \sim \lambda_i^{-\frac{1}{k}}$ and $k \gg 1$ is chosen sufficiently large. Secondly letting

$$p,\ q = 1, \dots, n+1 \quad \text{and} \quad k,\ l = 1, \dots, n$$

we evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} D - D_{g_{a_i}} =& \frac{\partial_p}{\sqrt{g_{a_i}}}(\sqrt{g_{a_i}} g_{a_i}^{p,q} y^{1-2\gamma} \partial_q \cdot) - E_{g_{a_i}} - \partial_p(\delta^{p,q} y^{1-2\gamma} \partial_q \cdot) \\ =& - E_{g_{a_i}} + \frac{\partial_y \sqrt{g_{a_i}}}{\sqrt{g_{a_i}}} y^{1-2\gamma} \partial_y + \frac{\partial_k \sqrt{g_{a_i}}}{\sqrt{g_{a_i}}} g_{a_i}^{k,l} y^{1-2\gamma} \partial_l + \partial_k((g_{a_i}^{k,l} - \delta^{k,l}) y^{1-2\gamma} \partial_l \cdot) \\ =& O(y^{1-2\gamma}) + O(y^{2-2\gamma}) \partial_y + O(y^{1-2\gamma} r) \nabla_x + O(y^{1-2\gamma} r^2 + y^{2-2\gamma}) \nabla_x^2, \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

where we made use of (20), and

$$g_{a_i} = \delta + O(y + |x|^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \det g_{a_i} = 1 + O(y^2) \quad \text{on} \quad B_{2\varepsilon_i}^{g_{a_i},+}(a_i).$$

From (58) and corollary 5.2 we then find

$$J_2 = O(y^{1-2\gamma} \widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i}) + O(y^{1-2\gamma} \lambda_i y (\widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i})^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}).$$

Moreover from (58) we find

$$D_{g_{a_i}} H_{a_i} = -D_{g_{a_i}} r^{2\gamma - n} = (D - D_{g_{a_i}}) r^{2\gamma - n} = O(y^{1-2\gamma} r^{2\gamma - n - 1}),$$

whence $H_{a_i} = O(r^{1+2\gamma-n})$, cf. the subsection 4.2. Thus (58) yields

$$|J_3| \leq \frac{C y^{1-2\gamma} \chi_{B_{\varepsilon_i}(a_i)^c}}{\lambda_i^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} r^{n-2\gamma}} = O(y^{1-2\gamma} \widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i}) \text{ on } B_{2\varepsilon_i}^{+}(a_i)$$

for any choice $\varepsilon_i \sim \lambda_i^{-\frac{1}{k}}$, $k \gg 1$. Collecting terms we conclude

$$D_{g_{a_i}} \widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i} = O(y^{1-2\gamma} \widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i}) + O(y^{1-2\gamma} \lambda_i y (\widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i})^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}) \quad \text{on} \quad B_{2\varepsilon_i}^{g_{a_i},+}(a_i),$$

which in conjunction with (57) implies

$$I_2 = O(\int_{B_{2\varepsilon_i}^{g_{a_i},+}(a_i)} y^{1-2\gamma} \widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i} \widetilde{\varphi_j}^{a_j}) + O(\int_{B_{2\varepsilon_i}^{g_{a_i},+}(a_i)} y^{1-2\gamma} \lambda_i y (\widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i})^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} \widetilde{\varphi_j}^{a_j}).$$

As we will prove in the appendix, this gives

$$I_2 = o_{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}}(\varepsilon_{i,j}). \tag{59}$$

Recalling (56) we thus arrive at

$$\frac{1}{d_\gamma^*} e_{i,j} = - \lim_{y \to 0} \int_M y^{1-2\gamma} \partial_y \widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i} \frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}} \varphi_j dV_{h_{a_i}} = \int_M \frac{c_{n,\gamma}}{d_\gamma^*} \eta_{a_i,\varepsilon_i} \delta_i^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} \frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}} \varphi_j dV_{h_{a_i}}$$

up to some $o_{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}}(\varepsilon_{i,j})$ using (53) for the last equality. Moreover setting $\delta_i = \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \eta_{a_i,\varepsilon_i} \delta_i^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} \frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}} \varphi_j dV_{h_{a_i}} &= \int_M \eta_{a_i,\varepsilon_i} (u_{a_i} \delta_i)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} (u_{a_j} \varphi_j) dV_h \\ &= \int\limits_{B_{\varepsilon_i}^{h_{a_i}}(a_i)} (u_{a_i} \delta_i)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} (u_{a_j} \varphi_j) dV_h + O(\frac{\varepsilon_i^{-n-2\gamma}}{\lambda_i^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} \lambda_j^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}) \\ &= \int_M (u_{a_i} \varphi_i)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} (u_{a_j} \varphi_j) dV_h + o_{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}}(\varepsilon_{i,j}) = \epsilon_{i,j} + o_{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}}(\varepsilon_{i,j}) \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

for any choice $\varepsilon_i \sim \lambda_i^{-\frac{1}{k}}$, k large. We conclude

$$e_{i,j} = c_{n,\gamma}\epsilon_{i,j} + o_{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}}(\varepsilon_{i,j}). \tag{61}$$

We turn to analyse $\epsilon_{i,j}$. From (60) we have up to some $o_{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}}(\varepsilon_{i,j})$

$$\epsilon_{i,j} = \int\limits_{B_{\varepsilon_i}^{h_{a_i}}(a_i)} (u_{a_i}\delta_i)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}(u_{a_j}\varphi_j)dV_h = \int\limits_{B_{\varepsilon_i}^{h_{a_i}}(a_i)} \delta_i^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} \frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}}\varphi_j dV_{h_{a_i}}.$$

Changing coordinates and rescaling we obtain up to some $o_{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}}(\varepsilon_{i,j})$

$$\epsilon_{i,j} = \int\limits_{B_{\varepsilon_i\lambda_i}^{h_{a_i}}(0)} \frac{\frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}}(\exp_{a_i}^{h_{a_i}} \frac{x}{\lambda_i})}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}} \left(\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(\exp_{a_i}^{h_{a_i}} \frac{x}{\lambda_i})}\right)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} dV_{h_{a_i}}.$$

In particular

$$\epsilon_{i,j} \ll 1 \Longleftrightarrow \varepsilon_{i,j} \ll 1 \tag{62}$$

and, as we will prove in the appendix,

$$\epsilon_{i,j} = c_{n,3}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{i,j}(1 + o_{\varepsilon_{i,j}}(1)), \tag{63}$$

Now (62) and (63) show

$$c \leq {}^{\epsilon_{i,j}}\!/_{\varepsilon_{i,j}} \leq C,$$

whence by virtue of (61) for $\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \ll 1$ sufficiently small

$$c \leq {}^{e_{i,j}}\!/_{\varepsilon_{i,j}} \leq C,$$

Therefore (i) is proven, i.e. $e_{i,j}, \epsilon_{i,j}$ and $\varepsilon_{i,j}$ are pairwise comparable. In particular (ii) follows from (61). In view of (63) we are left with proving $e_{i,j} = c_{n,4}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{i,j}(1 + o_{\varepsilon_{i,j}}(1))$, but this follows easily from (ii) and (63) combined with (10). Thus the lemma is proven. ■

6 Locally flat conformal infinities of Poincar-Einstein manifolds

In this section, we sharpen the results of section 4 in the case of Poincar-Einstein manifold (X, g^+) with locally conformally flat infinity $(M, [h])$. Furthermore in this particular case, we establish a sharp L^∞-estimate for $\overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a - \widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a$, and derive selfaction estimates for $v_{a,\lambda}$. By our assumptions, we have

(i) for a geodesic defining function y splitting the metric

$$g = y^2 g^+,\ g = dy^2 + h_y \text{ near } M \quad \text{and} \quad h = h_y\lfloor_M$$

there exists for every $a \in M$ a conformal factor

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq u_a \leq C, \quad u_a(a) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla u_a(a) = 0,$$

whose conformal metric $h_a = u_a^{\frac{4}{n-2\gamma}} h$ close to a admits an Euclidean coordinate system, $h_a = \delta$ on $B_\epsilon^{h_a}(a)$. As clarified in subsection 3.2 and recalling Remark 1.1, this gives rise to a geodesic defining function y_a, for which

$$g_a = y_a^2 g^+,\ g_a = dy_a^2 + h_{a,y} \text{ near } M \quad \text{with} \quad h_a = h_{a,y_a}\lfloor_M \quad \text{and} \quad \delta = h_a\lfloor_{B_\epsilon^{h_a}(a)}, \tag{64}$$

the boundary $(M, [h_a])$ is totally geodesic and the extension operator D_{g_a} is positive.

(ii) as observed by Kim-Musso-Wei [34] in the case $n \geq 3$ (see lemma 43 in [34]) and for $n = 2$ due to Remark 1.1 and the existence of isothermal coordinates we have

$$g_a = \delta + O(y^n) \quad \text{on} \quad B_\epsilon^{g_a,+}(a) \tag{65}$$

in g_a-normal Fermi-coordinates around $a$for some small $\epsilon > 0$. Therefore the previous results on the fundamental solutions in the case of asymptotically hyperbolic manifold of minimal conformal infinity of section 4 are applicable. We collect them in the following subsection.

6.1 Fundamental solutions in this case

In this subsection we sharpen the results of section 4 in the case of a Poincaré-Einstein manifold (X, g^+) with locally conformally flat infinity $(M, [h])$.

To do that let us first write $K_a = K_{g_a}(\cdot, a)$, $\Gamma_a = \Gamma_{g_a}(\cdot, a)$ and $G_a^\gamma = G_{h_a}^\gamma(\cdot, a)$. From (65) we then find

$$D_{g_a} K \in y H_{-2\gamma-2} C^\infty, \quad D_{g_a} \Gamma \in y^{1-2\gamma} H_{2\gamma-2} C^\infty$$

for the lowest order deficits in (24) and (44). Then in view of lemmas 4.1, 4.4 the corresponding expansions given by corollaries 4.3, 4.6, 4.7 are

(i) $K_a(z) \in \eta_\xi(z) \left(p_{n,\gamma} \frac{y^{2\gamma}}{|z|^{n+2\gamma}} + \sum_{l=0}^{2m+6} y^{2\gamma} H_{l-2\gamma}(z) \right) + y^{2\gamma} C^{2m,\alpha}(X)$

(ii) $\Gamma_a(z) \in \eta_\xi(z) \left(\frac{g_{n,\gamma}}{|z|^{n-2\gamma}} + \sum_{l=0}^{2m+4} H_{l+2\gamma}(z) \right) + C^{2m,\alpha}(X)$

(iii) $G_a^\gamma(x) \in \eta_\xi(x) \left(\frac{g_{n,\gamma}}{|x|^{n-2\gamma}} + \sum_{l=0}^{2m+4} H_{l+2\gamma}(x) \right) + C^{2m,\alpha}(M).$

Recalling (25) there holds $y^{2\gamma} H_{l-2\gamma} \subset C^{m,\alpha}$ for $l > m$ and $H_{l+2\gamma} \subset C^{m,\alpha}$ for $l \geq m$. We have therefore proven the following result.

Corollary 6.1 (The kernels in the case locally flat conformal infinities of Poincaré-Einstein manifolds)**.** *Let $(X,\ g^+)$ be a Poincaré-Einstein manifold with conformally infinity $(M, [h])$ of dimension $n = 2$ or $n \geq 3$ and $(M, [h])$ is locally flat. If*

$$\frac{1}{2} \neq \gamma \in (0, 1) \quad \textit{and} \quad \lambda_1(-\Delta_{g^+}) > s(n-s), \quad \textit{for } s = \frac{n}{2} + \gamma$$

then the Poison kernel K_g and the Green's functions Γ_g and G_h^γ respectively for

$$\begin{cases} D_g U = 0 \quad in \quad X \\ U = f \quad on \quad M \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} D_g U = 0 \quad in \quad X \\ -d_\gamma^* \lim_{y \to 0} y^{1-2\gamma} \partial_y U = f \quad on \quad M \end{cases} \qquad and \quad \left\{ P_h^\gamma u = f \ \ on \ \ M \right.$$

respectively are of class $y^{2\gamma} C^{2,\alpha}$ and $C^{2,\alpha}$ away from the singularity and admit for every $a \in M$ locally in g_a-normal Fermi-coordinates an expansion (where g_a is as in (64))

(i) $K_a(z) \in p_{n,\gamma} \frac{y^{2\gamma}}{|z|^{n+2\gamma}} + y^{2\gamma} H_{-2\gamma}(z) + y^{2\gamma} H_{1-2\gamma}(z) + y^{2\gamma} H_{2-2\gamma}(z) + y^{2\gamma} C^{2,\alpha}(X)$

(ii) $\Gamma_a(z) \in \frac{g_{n,\gamma}}{|z|^{n-2\gamma}} + H_{2\gamma}(z) + H_{1+2\gamma}(z) + C^{2,\alpha}(X)$

(iii) $G_a^\gamma(x) \in \frac{g_{n,\gamma}}{|x|^{n-2\gamma}} + H_{2\gamma}(z) + H_{1+2\gamma}(x) + C^{2,\alpha}(X)$

with $H_k \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \setminus \{0\})$ being homogeneous of degree k.

6.2 Comparing Schoen's bubbles and the Projective ones

In this subsection, we compare the Schoen's bubbles $\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a$ and our Projective bubbles $\overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a$. Indeed, we are going to establish a sharp L^∞-estimates for $D_{g_a}(\overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a - \widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a)$. Furthermore using the Maximum principle for D_{g_a} under Dirichlet boundary condition, we are going to derive a sharp L^∞-estimate for $\overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a - \widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a$. This is the content of the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2 (Comparing the Schoen's bubbles and the Projective ones)**.**
Writing in g_a-normal Fermi-coordinates

$$\Gamma_a = r^{2\gamma-n} + H_a = r^{2\gamma-n} + M_a + O(\max(r, r^{2\gamma})),$$

cf. corollary 6.1, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
&(i) \quad D_{g_a}(\overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a - \widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a) = -D_{g_a}(\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a) = D_{g_a}(\eta_{a,\varepsilon}\tfrac{H_a}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}) + O(\tfrac{\lambda^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}}{y^\gamma r^{1-\gamma}}) \\
&\qquad = \tfrac{M_a}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}} div_{g_a}(y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla_{g_a}\eta_{a,\varepsilon}) + O(\tfrac{\max(1,\varepsilon^{1-2\gamma})}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} y^\gamma r^{1-\gamma}}) \\
&(ii) \quad \overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a - \widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a = O(\tfrac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}),
\end{aligned}$$

provided $\varepsilon \sim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{k}}$ for some $k = k(n,\gamma)$ sufficiently large.

Proof. Since $D_{g_a}\Gamma_a = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{g_a}\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a =& D_{g_a}(\eta_{a,\varepsilon}(\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda} - \lambda^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}\Gamma_a)) \\
=& D(\eta_{a,\varepsilon}(\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda} - \frac{\lambda^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}}{r^{n-2\gamma}})) + (D_{g_a} - D)(\eta_{a,\varepsilon}(\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda} - \frac{\lambda^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}}{r^{n-2\gamma}})) \\
& - D_{g_a}(\eta_{a,\varepsilon}\frac{H_a}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}) = I_1 + I_2 + I_3
\end{aligned}$$

writing $\Gamma_a = r^{2\gamma-n} + H_a$ in g_a-normal Fermi-coordinates and start evaluating

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 =& - div(y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla(\eta_{a,\varepsilon}(\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda} - \frac{\lambda^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}}{r^{n-2\gamma}}))) \\
=& - \partial_y y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_y\eta_{a,\varepsilon}(\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda} - \frac{\lambda^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}}{r^{n-2\gamma}}) + 2y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla\eta_{a,\varepsilon}\nabla(\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda} - \frac{\lambda^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}}{r^{n-2\gamma}}) + y^{1-2\gamma}\Delta\eta_{a,\varepsilon}(\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda} - \frac{\lambda^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}}{r^{n-2\gamma}}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we made use of $D\hat{\delta}_{a,\lambda} = Dr^{2\gamma-n} = 0$. (50) and corollary 5.3 then show

$$I_1 = o_{\frac{1}{1+\lambda^{2\gamma}\varepsilon^n}}(\frac{y^{1-2\gamma}}{\varepsilon^2\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}) = O(\frac{\lambda^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}}{y^\gamma r^{1-\gamma}}),$$

whenever $\varepsilon \sim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{k}}$ and $k \gg 1$ is chosen sufficiently large. Secondly letting

$$p,\ q = 1, \dots, n+1 \quad \text{and} \quad i,\ j = 1, \dots, n$$

we evaluate

$$\begin{aligned}
D - D_{g_a} =& \frac{\partial_p}{\sqrt{g_a}}(\sqrt{g_a}g_a^{p,q}y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_q\cdot) - E_{g_a} - \partial_p(\delta^{p,q}y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_q\cdot) \\
=& \frac{\partial_y\sqrt{g_a}}{\sqrt{g_a}}y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_y + \frac{\partial_i\sqrt{g_a}}{\sqrt{g_a}}g_a^{i,j}y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_j + \partial_i((g_a^{i,j} - \delta^{i,j})y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_j\cdot) - E_{g_a} \\
=& O(y^{n-1-2\gamma}) + O(y^{n-2\gamma})\partial_y + O(y^{n+1-2\gamma})\nabla_x + O(y^{n+1-2\gamma})\nabla_x^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

where we made use of (20),(65) and the splitting of the metric. From (66) and corollary 5.2 we then find

$$I_2 = O(\frac{\lambda^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}}{y^\gamma r^{1-\gamma}}).$$

Moreover (66) and corollary 6.1 imply $D_{g_a} H_a = (D - D_{g_a}) r^{2\gamma-n} = O(\frac{1}{y^\gamma r^{1-\gamma}})$, whence

$$I_3 = -\frac{H_a}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}} div_{g_a}(y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla_{g_a}\eta_{a,\varepsilon}) - 2y^{1-2\gamma}\langle \nabla_{g_a}\eta_{a,\varepsilon}, \frac{\nabla_{g_a} H_a}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}\rangle_{g_a} + O(\frac{\lambda^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}}{y^\gamma r^{1-\gamma}}).$$

Due to the structure of H_a, cf. corollary 6.1 we have

$$H_a = M_a + O(\max(r, r^{2\gamma})) \text{ and } \nabla_{g_a} H_a = O(\max(1, r^{2\gamma-1})$$

with M_a denoting the constant in the expansion of the Green's function Γ_a. Recalling (50) we then get

$$I_3 = -\frac{M_a}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}} div_{g_a}(y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla_{g_a}\eta_{a,\varepsilon}) + O(\frac{\max(1, \varepsilon^{1-2\gamma})}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} y^\gamma r^{1-\gamma}}).$$

Collecting terms we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} D_{g_a}\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a &= - D_{g_a}(\eta_{a,\varepsilon}\frac{H_a}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}) + O(\frac{\lambda^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}}{y^\gamma r^{1-\gamma}}) \\ &= - \frac{M_a}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}} div_{g_a}(y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla_{g_a}\eta_{a,\varepsilon}) + O(\frac{\max(1, \varepsilon^{1-2\gamma})}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} y^\gamma r^{1-\gamma}}). \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

This with the definition of $\overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a$ (which implies $D_{g_a}\overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a = 0$) shows (i). (ii) follows from the maximum principle for D_{g_a} under Dirichlet boundary condition. Indeed consider

$$\chi_{a,\rho} = \chi(\frac{y^2}{\rho^2}), \quad \chi = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad [0,1] \quad \text{and} \qquad \chi = 0 \text{ on } \quad (2, +\infty),$$

i.e. a cut-off function for the boundary and

$$\psi = \psi_{a,\lambda,\varepsilon,\rho} = \overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a - \widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a - \eta_{a,\varepsilon}\frac{H_a}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}} \pm C\eta_{a,\rho}\frac{y^{2\gamma}\ln y}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}$$

with constants $\rho,\ C > 0$. We then find from (51),(52), (67) and

$$D(y^{2\gamma}\ln y) = -\frac{2\gamma}{y},$$

that for suitable $0 < \rho,\ C^{-1} \ll 1$

$$\begin{cases} D_{g_a}\psi = O(\lambda^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}) \text{ in } X \\ D_{g_a}\psi \lesseqgtr 0 \quad \text{in} \quad [d_{g_a}(\cdot, M) \le \rho] \\ \psi = O(\lambda^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}) \quad \text{on} \quad M \end{cases}$$

and according to proposition 3.1 we may solve

$$\begin{cases} D_{g_a} u = c_1 \quad \text{in} \quad X \\ u = c_2 \quad \text{on} \quad M \end{cases}$$

for constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $u \in C^\infty(\overline{X}) + y^{2\gamma}C^\infty(\overline{X})$. ■

6.3 Selfaction estimates for $v_{a,\lambda}$

In this subsection we derive two selfaction estimate for $v_{a,\lambda}$. Indeed we show

Lemma 6.3 (The selfaction estimates for $v_{a,\lambda}$)**.**
Writing in g_a-normal Fermi-coordinates $z = z_a = (y_a, x_a) = (y, x)$

$$\Gamma_a = r^{2\gamma-n} + H_a = r^{2\gamma-n} + M_a + O(\max(r, r^{2\gamma})),$$

cf. corollary 6.1, there holds

(i) $\quad \langle v_{a,\lambda}, v_{a,\lambda}\rangle_{P_h^\gamma} = \langle \varphi_{a,\lambda}, \varphi_{a,\lambda}\rangle_{P_{h_a}^\gamma} = c_{n,2}^\gamma - c_{n,\gamma}^* {}^{M_a}/_{\lambda^{n-2\gamma}} + o_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(\frac{1}{\lambda^{n-2\gamma}})$,

(ii) $\quad \int_M v_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}} dV_h = \int_M \varphi_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}} dV_{h_a} = c_{n,1}^\gamma + o_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(\lambda^{2\gamma-n})$

provided $\varepsilon \sim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{k}}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large.

Proof. Using (53) we calculate the quadratic form

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{d_\gamma^*}\langle \varphi_{a,\lambda}, \varphi_{a,\lambda}\rangle_{P_{h_a}^\gamma} =& \frac{1}{d_\gamma^*}\int_M P_{g_a}\varphi_{a,\lambda}\varphi_{a,\lambda} dV_{h_a} \\
=& -\lim_{y\to 0}\int_M y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_y \widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a \widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a dV_{h_a} - \lim_{y\to 0}\int_M y^{1-2\gamma}\partial_y(\overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a - \widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a)\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a dV_{h_a} \\
=& \frac{c_{n,\gamma}}{d_\gamma^*}\int_M \eta_{a,\varepsilon}\delta_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}\varphi_{a,\lambda} dV_{h_a} + \int_X div_{g_a}(y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla_{g_a}(\overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a - \widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a)\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a) dV_{g_a}
\end{aligned}$$

and from (48),(49) and $h_a = g_a\lfloor_M = \delta$ close to a we easily find

$$\int_M \eta_{a,\varepsilon}\delta_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}\varphi_{a,\lambda} dV_{h_a} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\delta_{0,\lambda}^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}} + O(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\varepsilon(0)}\delta_{0,\lambda}^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}) = c_{n,1}^\gamma + O(\frac{1}{(\varepsilon\lambda)^n}), \tag{68}$$

whence by integration by parts and any choice $\varepsilon \sim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{k}}$, k sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{d_\gamma^*}\langle \varphi_{a,\lambda}, \varphi_{a,\lambda}\rangle_{P_{h_a}^\gamma} =& \frac{c_{n,2}^\gamma}{d_\gamma^*} + \int_X y^{1-2\gamma}\langle \nabla_{g_a}(\overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a - \widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a), \nabla_{g_a}\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a\rangle_{g_a} + E_{g_a}(\overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a - \widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a)\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a dV_{g_a} \\
& - \int_X D_{g_a}(\overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a - \widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a)\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a dV_{g_a} + o_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(\lambda^{2\gamma-n}) \\
=& \frac{c_{n,2}^\gamma}{d_\gamma^*} + \int_X div_{g_a}(y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla_{g_a}\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a(\overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a - \widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a)) dV_{g_a} \\
& - \int_X D_{g_a}(\overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a - \widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a)\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a dV_{g_a} + \int_X D_{g_a}\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a(\overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a - \widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a) dV_{g_a} + o_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(\lambda^{2\gamma-n}) \\
=& I_1 + \ldots + I_5.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly $I_2 = 0$ and from lemma 6.2 (i) we obtain

$$I_3 = -\int_X D_{g_a}(\eta_{a,\varepsilon}\frac{H_a}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}})\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a dV_{g_a} + o_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(\frac{1}{\lambda^{n-2\gamma}}),$$

since, whenever we choose $\varepsilon \sim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{k}}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{2\varepsilon}^+}\frac{\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a}{y^\gamma r^{1-\gamma}} \leq& \frac{C}{\lambda^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}}\int_{B_{2\varepsilon\lambda}^+}\frac{1}{y^\gamma(y^2+|x|)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}}(\frac{1}{1+y^2+|x|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} \\
\leq& \frac{C}{\lambda^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}}\int_0^{\varepsilon\lambda}\frac{dy}{y^\gamma}\int_{Q_{\varepsilon\lambda}^n}\frac{1}{|x|^{1-\gamma}}(\frac{1}{1+|x|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} = \frac{\varepsilon^{2\gamma}}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover from lemma 6.2 we easily find

$$D_{g_a}\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a = O(\frac{\varepsilon^{-2\gamma}}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}y^\gamma r^{1-\gamma}}) \text{ and } \overline{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a - \widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a = O(\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}),$$

whence $I_4 = O(\frac{\varepsilon^{n-2\gamma}}{\lambda^{n-2\gamma}})$. Collecting terms we obtain

$$\frac{1}{d^*_\gamma}\langle\varphi_{a,\lambda},\varphi_{a,\lambda}\rangle_{P^\gamma_{h_a}} = \frac{c^\gamma_{n,2}}{d^*_\gamma} - \int_X D_{g_a}(\eta_{a,\varepsilon}\frac{H_a}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}})\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a dV_{g_a} + o_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(\frac{1}{\lambda^{n-2\gamma}}).$$

From lemma 6.2 (i) we then find

$$\int_X D_{g_a}(\eta_{a,\varepsilon}\frac{H_a}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}})\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a dV_{g_a} = \frac{M_a}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}\int_X div_{g_a}(y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla_{g_a}\eta_{a,\varepsilon})\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a dV_{g_a} + O(\frac{\max(\varepsilon,\varepsilon^{2\gamma})}{\lambda^{n-2\gamma}}),$$

where integrating by parts using (50)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X div_{g_a}(y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla_{g_a}\eta_{a,\varepsilon})\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a dV_{g_a} =& -\int_X div_{g_a}(y^{1-2\gamma}\eta_{a,\varepsilon}\nabla_{g_a}\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a)dV_{g_a}\\ &+\int_X \eta_{a,\varepsilon} div_{g_a}(y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla_{g_a}\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a)dV_{g_a}\end{aligned}$$

with the latter integral being of order $O(\frac{\varepsilon^{n-2\gamma}}{\lambda^{n-2\gamma}})$, since

$$\begin{aligned}div_{g_a}(y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla_{g_a}\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a) =& -D_{g_a}\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a - E_{g_a}\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a\\ =& -\frac{M_a}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}div_{g_a}(y^{1-2\gamma}\nabla_{g_a}\eta_{a,\varepsilon}) + O(\frac{\max(1,\varepsilon^{1-2\gamma})}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}y^\gamma r_a^{1-\gamma}}) = O(\frac{\varepsilon^{-2\gamma}\lambda^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}}{y^\gamma r_a^{1-\gamma}})\end{aligned}$$

due to (20),(65),(67). Collecting terms we derive

$$\frac{1}{d^*_\gamma}\langle\varphi_{a,\lambda},\varphi_{a,\lambda}\rangle_{P^\gamma_{h_a}} = \frac{c^\gamma_{n,2}}{d^*_\gamma} - \frac{M_a}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}\int_X div_{g_a}(y^{1-2\gamma}\eta_{a,\varepsilon}\nabla_{g_a}\widetilde{\varphi_{a,\lambda}}^a)dV_{g_a} + o_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(\frac{1}{\lambda^{n-2\gamma}}),$$

provided we choose $\varepsilon \sim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{k}}$ and $k \gg 1$. Recalling (12), from (53) we then find

$$\langle\varphi_{a,\lambda},\varphi_{a,\lambda}\rangle_{P^\gamma_{h_a}} = c^\gamma_{n,2} - d^*_\gamma\frac{M_a}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}\int_M \eta^2_{a,\varepsilon}\delta_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}dV_{h_a} + o_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(\frac{1}{\lambda^{n-2\gamma}}).$$

and arguing as for (68) we conclude (recalling (11))

$$\langle\varphi_{a,\lambda},\varphi_{a,\lambda}\rangle_{P^\gamma_{h_a}} = c^\gamma_{n,2} - c^*_{n,\gamma}\frac{M_a}{\lambda^{n-2\gamma}} + o_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(\frac{1}{\lambda^{n-2\gamma}}).$$

Likewise

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \varphi_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}dV_{h_a} =& \int_{B_\varepsilon(a)}\delta_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}dV_{h_a} + \int_{M\setminus B_\varepsilon(a)} O((\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}\varepsilon^{n-2\gamma}})^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}})dV_{h_a}\\ =& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\delta_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}}dV_{h_a} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\varepsilon(a)}\delta_{a,\lambda}^{\frac{2n}{n-2\gamma}} + O(\frac{\varepsilon^{-2n}}{\lambda^n})\\ =& c^\gamma_{n,1} + O(\frac{\varepsilon^{-n}}{\lambda^n}) + O(\frac{\varepsilon^{-2n}}{\lambda^n}) = c^\gamma_{n,1} + o_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(\lambda^{2\gamma-n})\end{aligned}$$

provided $\varepsilon \sim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{k}}$ for $k \gg 1$ sufficiently large. The assertions now follow from conformal covariance of P^γ_h, see [15] or cf. subsection (3.2), and the one of volume forms.■

Definition 6.4. *In analogy to the classical Yamabe problem, we define the fractional mass map* $\mathcal{M}_\gamma$ *as*

$$\mathcal{M}_\gamma(a) = M_a,$$

where M_a *is given by lemma 6.2,and the fractional minimal mass as follows*

$$\mathcal{M}_\gamma^{\min} = \min_{a\in M} \mathcal{M}_\gamma(a).$$

7 Variational and algebraic topological argument

In this section, we present the proof of Theorem 1.3. Therefore we will assume in this section that we are under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3. Furthermore because of Remark 1.4 and the work of Gonzalez-Qing[30], we will assume also that $\gamma \neq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathcal{Y}^\gamma(M,[h]) > 0$, and hence the Green's function $G_h^\gamma > 0$. With the above agreement, we are going to carry out the variational and algebraic topological argument for existence.

7.1 Variational principle in a non-compact setting

In this subsection, we extend the classical variational principle to this non-compact setting. Clearly our bubbles $v_{a,\lambda}$ can be used to replace the standard bubbles in the analysis of diverging Palais-Smale (PS) sequences of $\mathcal{E}_h^\gamma$ in [22]. Relying on this fact we derive a deformation lemma that takes into account the bubbling phenomena via our bubbles $v_{a,\lambda}$. To do so, first for $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$W_p = W_{p,h}^\gamma := \{u \in W_+^{\gamma,2}(M,h) : \ \mathcal{E}_h^\gamma(u) \leq (p+1)^{\frac{2\gamma}{n}} \mathcal{Y}_\gamma(S^n)\}.$$

Next we introduce the *neighborhood of potential critical points at infinity* of $\mathcal{E}_h^\gamma$. Precisely, for $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ (for some small $\varepsilon_0 > 0$), we define $V(p,\varepsilon)$ the (p,ε)-neighborhood of potential critical points at infinity of $\mathcal{E}_h^\gamma$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} V(p,\varepsilon) := \{u \in W^{\gamma,2}(M,h) : \ & \exists a_1,\cdots,a_p \in M, \ \ \alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_p > 0, \ \lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_p \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, \\ & \|u - \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i,\lambda_i}\| \leq \varepsilon, \ \ \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_j} \leq \nu_0 \ \text{ and } \ \varepsilon_{i,j} \leq \varepsilon, \ \ i \neq j = 1,\cdots,p\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the standard $W^{\gamma,2}$-norm, $\varepsilon_{i,j} := \varepsilon_{i,j}(A_{i,j},\bar{\lambda})$ with $A_{i,j} := (a_i,a_j)$, $\bar{\lambda} := (\lambda_i,\lambda_j)$.

Concerning the sets $V(p,\varepsilon)$, for every $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have that there exists $0 < \varepsilon_p \leq \varepsilon_0$ such that for every $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_p$, we have that

$$\begin{cases} \forall u \in V(p,\varepsilon) \ \text{ the minimization problem } \ \min_{B^p_{C_1\varepsilon}} \|u - \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i \varphi_{a_i,\lambda_i}\| \\ \text{has a solution, which is unique up to permutations,} \end{cases} \tag{69}$$

where $B^p_{C_1\varepsilon}$ is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} B^p_{C_1\varepsilon} := \{(\bar{\alpha},A,\bar{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{R}_+^p \times M^p \times (0,+\infty)^p : \ & \lambda_i \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, i = 1,\cdots,p, \\ & \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_j} \leq \nu_0 \ \text{ and } \ \varepsilon_{i,j} \leq C_1\varepsilon, i \neq j = 1,\cdots,p\} \end{aligned}$$

and $C_1 > 1$ and ν_0 is as in proposition 7.3. Furthermore we define the selection map as follows

$$s_p : V(p,\varepsilon) \longrightarrow (M)^p/\sigma_p : u \longrightarrow s_p(u) = A \text{ and } A \text{ is given by (69).}$$

Now having introduced the neighborhoods of potential critical points at infinity of $\mathcal{E}^{\gamma}_{\hat{h}}$, we are ready to present our deformation lemma which follows from the same arguments as for its counterparts in classical application of the barycenter technique for existence of Bahri-Coron[6] and the fact that the $v_{a,\lambda}$'s can replace the standard bubbles in the analysis of diverging (PS) sequences of $\mathcal{E}^{\gamma}_{\hat{h}}$. Indeed, we have the result which was the aim of this subsection.

Lemma 7.1. *Assuming that $\mathcal{E}^{\gamma}_{h}$ has no critical points, then for every $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, up to taking ε_p smaller (where ε_p is given by (69)), we have that for every $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_p$, there holds $(W_p,\ W_{p-1})$ retracts by deformation onto $(W_{p-1} \cup A_p,\ W_{p-1})$ with $V(p,\ \tilde{\varepsilon}) \subset A_p \subset V(p,\ \varepsilon)$ where $0 < \tilde{\varepsilon} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ is a very small positive real number and depends on ε.*

7.2 Algebraic topological argument

In this subsection, we present the algebraic topological argument or barycenter technique of Bahri-Coron[6] for existence to prove Theorem 1.3. In order to do that we start by establishing the sharp $\mathcal{E}^{\gamma}_{h}$-energy estimate for $v_{a,\lambda}$.

Lemma 7.2 (Sharp $\mathcal{E}^{\gamma}_{h}$-energy estimate for $v_{a,\lambda}$)**.**
There holds

$$\mathcal{E}^{\gamma}_{h}(v_{a,\lambda}) = \mathcal{Y}^{\gamma}(S^n)\left(1 - c^*_{n,\gamma}\frac{\mathcal{M}_{\gamma}(a)}{\lambda^{n-2\gamma}}\right)\left(1 + o_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(1)\right),$$

where $c^*_{n,\gamma}$ is as in (11).

Proof. The proof is a direct application of lemma 6.3. ■

Next we define for $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\lambda > 0$

$$f_p(\lambda):\ B_p(M) \longrightarrow W^{\gamma,2}_{+}(M) : \sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{p}\alpha_i\delta_{a_i} \in B_p(M) \longrightarrow f_p(\lambda)(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{p}\alpha_i v_{a_i,\lambda}$$

Using lemma 5.5 and lemma 7.2, we are going to prove that at *true infinity*, the following quantity

$$\Delta^{\lambda}_{p}\mathcal{E}^{h}_{\gamma}(\sigma) := \lambda^{n-2\gamma}\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}^{\hat{h}}_{\gamma}(f_p(\sigma))}{p^{\frac{2\gamma}{n}}\mathcal{Y}_{\gamma}(S^n)} - 1\right),\ \ \sigma := \sum_{i=1}^{p}\alpha_i\delta_{a_i} \in B_p(M)$$

has at most a linear growth in p with a negative slope and this uniformly in σ and λ, namely at infinity

$$\sup_{\lambda>1,\sigma\in B_p(M)} \Delta^{\lambda}_{p}\mathcal{E}^{\hat{h}}_{\gamma}(\sigma) \leq -cp + C. \tag{70}$$

About estimate (70), we precisely show the following proposition.

Proposition 7.3. *There exists $\nu_0 > 1$ such that for every $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $p \geq 2$ and every $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, there exists $\lambda_p := \lambda_p(\varepsilon)$ such that for every $\lambda \geq \lambda_p$ and for every $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{p}\alpha_i\delta_{a_i} \in B_p(M)$, we have*

(i) If $\sum_{i\neq j}\varepsilon_{i,j} > \varepsilon$ or there exist $i_0 \neq j_0$ such that $\frac{\alpha_{i_0}}{\alpha_{j_0}} > \nu_0$, then

$$\mathcal{E}^{\gamma}_{h}(f_p(\lambda))(\sigma)) \leq p^{\frac{2\gamma}{n}}\mathcal{Y}(S^n).$$

(ii) If $\sum_{i\neq j}\varepsilon_{i,j} \leq \varepsilon$ and for every $i \neq j$ we have $\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_j} \leq \nu_0$, then

$$\mathcal{E}^{\gamma}_{h}(f_p(\lambda))(\sigma)) \leq p^{\frac{2\gamma}{n}}\mathcal{Y}^{\gamma}(S^n)\left(1 - c^*_{n,\gamma}\frac{\mathcal{M}^{\min}_{\gamma}}{\lambda^{n-2}} - c^{\gamma}_{h}\frac{(p-1)}{\lambda^{n-2}}\right),$$

where $c^{\gamma}_{h} = \frac{c^{\gamma}_{n,3}}{4c^{1}_{n,1}}\min_{(M^2)^*} G^{\gamma}_{h}$.

PROOF. The proof is the same as the one of proposition 3.1 in [38] using lemma 5.5, lemma 6.3, lemma 7.2, lemma 5.4. ■

Now we start transporting the topology of the manifold M into the sublevels of the Euler-Lagrange functional $\mathcal{E}_h^\gamma$ by bubbling via $v_{a,\lambda}$. Recalling (14) we have

Lemma 7.4. *Assuming that $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{h}}^\gamma$ has no critical points and $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1$, then up to taking ε_1 smaller and λ_1 larger, we have that for every $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$, there holds*

$$f_1(\lambda) : (B_1(M),\ B_0(M)) \longrightarrow (W_1,\ W_0)$$

is well defined and satisfies

$$(f_1(\lambda))_*(w_1) \neq 0 \ \text{ in } \ H_n(W_1,\ W_0).$$

PROOF. The proof follows from the same arguments as the ones used in the proof of lemma 4.2 in [37] by using the selection map s_1 lemma 7.1 and lemma 7.2. ■

Next we use the previous lemma and *pile up masses* by bubbling via $v_{a,\lambda}$ in a recursive way.

Lemma 7.5. *Assuming that $\mathcal{E}_{\hat{h}}^\gamma$ has no critical points and $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{p+1}$, then up to taking ε_{p+1} smaller, and λ_p and λ_{p+1} larger, we have that for every $\lambda \geq \max\{\lambda_p, \lambda_{p+1}\}$, there holds*

$$f_{p+1}(\lambda) : (B_{p+1}(M),\ B_p(M)) \longrightarrow (W_{p+1},\ W_p)$$

and

$$f_p(\lambda) : (B_p(M),\ B_{p-1}(M)) \longrightarrow (W_p,\ W_{p-1})$$

are well defined and satisfy

$$(f_p(\lambda))_*(w_p) \neq 0 \ \text{ in } \quad H_{np-1}(W_p,\ W_{p-1})$$

implies

$$(f_{p+1}(\lambda))_*(w_{p+1}) \neq 0 \ \text{ in } \ H_{n(p+1)-1}(W_{p+1},\ W_p).$$

PROOF. The proof follows from the same arguments as the ones used in the proof of lemma 4.3 in [37], by using the selection map s_p lemma 7.1 and proposition 7.3. ■

Finally, we use the strength of proposition 7.3 - namely point (ii) - to give a criterion ensuring that the recursive process of *pilling up* mass given by lemma 7.5 must stop after a very large number of steps.

Lemma 7.6. *Setting*

$$p_h^\gamma := [1 - c_{n,\gamma}^* \frac{\mathcal{M}_\gamma^{\min}}{c_h^\gamma}] + 2,$$

we have that $\forall\ 0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ and $\forall \lambda \geq \lambda_{p_\gamma^h}$ there holds

$$f_{p_h^\gamma}(\lambda)(B_{p_h^\gamma}(M)) \subset W_{p_h^\gamma - 1}.$$

PROOF. The proof is a direct application of proposition 7.3 . ■

PROOF of Theorem 1.3
It follows by a contradiction argument from lemma 7.4-lemma7.6. ■

8 Appendix

In this section we collect the proves of lemmas 5.1,5.4 and of some technical estimates used in this paper. We start with providing the proof of lemma 5.1.

PROOF of lemma 5.1

(i) Let us write $\delta_i = \delta_{a_i,\lambda_i}, \delta_j = \delta_{a_j,\lambda_j}$ for simplicity and agree that whenever we omit the domain of integration, it is understood to be $\mathbb{R}^n$. Due to

$$\int \delta_i^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}\delta_j = \frac{1}{c_{n,\gamma}}\int (-\Delta)^\gamma \delta_i \delta_j = \frac{1}{c_{n,\gamma}}\int \delta_i (-\Delta)^\gamma \delta_j \int \delta_i \delta_j^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}$$

we may assume $\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_j}$. We then have

$$\int \delta_i^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}\delta_j = \int (\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} (\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a_i - a_j|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}.$$

Since $\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}} \sim \lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i - a_j|^2$ or $\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}} \sim \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}$, and may expand on

$$\mathcal{A} = \left[|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}| \leq \epsilon |a_i - a_j|\right] \cup \left[|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}| \leq \epsilon \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right]$$

for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small

$$\begin{aligned}&(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a_i - a_j|^2))^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}} \\ &=(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i - a_j|^2)^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}} + (2\gamma - n)\frac{\langle a_i - a_j, \lambda_j x\rangle + O(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}|x|^2)}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i - a_j|^2)^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\int \delta_i^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}\delta_j = \sum_{k=1}^4 I_k$ with

(i) $I_1 = \frac{1}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i - a_j|^2)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}} \int_{\mathcal{A}} \frac{1}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}}$

(ii) $I_2 = \frac{2\gamma - n}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i - a_j|^2)^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}} \int_{\mathcal{A}} \frac{\langle a_i - a_j, \lambda_j x\rangle}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}}$

(iii) $I_3 = \frac{1}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i - a_j|^2)^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}} \int_{\mathcal{A}} \frac{O(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}|x|^2)}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}}$

(iv) $I_4 = \int\limits_{\mathcal{A}^c} (\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} (\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a_i - a_j|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}$.

We get

$$I_1 = \frac{b_1}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i - a_j|^2)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}} + O((\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^\gamma \varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}})$$

with $b_1 = \int (\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} = c_{n,3}^\gamma$, $I_2 = 0$ and $I_3 = O((\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^\gamma \varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}})$. Moreover

$$I_4 \leq \frac{C}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}} = O((\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^\gamma \varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}}) \quad \text{in case} \quad \varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}} \sim \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}.$$

Otherwise we may assume $\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i} \leq \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \ll \lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i - a_j|^2$ and decompose

$$\mathcal{A}^c \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2,$$

where for a sufficiently large constant $E > 0$

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \left[\epsilon|a_i - a_j| \leq |\frac{x}{\lambda_i}| \leq E|a_i - a_j|\right]$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_2 = \left[E|a_i - a_j| \leq |\frac{x}{\lambda_i}| < \infty\right].$$

We then may estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4^1 =& \int\limits_{\mathcal{B}_1} (\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} (\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a_i - a_j|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} \\
\leq& \frac{C(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}}{(1+\lambda_i^2|a_i-a_j|^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}} \int\limits_{[|\frac{x}{\lambda_j}| \leq E|a_i - a_j|]} (\frac{1}{1+\lambda_j^2|\frac{x}{\lambda_j} + a_i - a_j|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} \\
\leq& \frac{C}{(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i-a_j|^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}} \int\limits_{B_{C\lambda_j|a_i-a_j|}(0)} (\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} \\
\leq& \frac{C(\lambda_j^2|a_i-a_j|^2)^{\gamma}}{(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i-a_j|^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}} = O((\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}})
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{4,2} =& \int\limits_{\mathcal{B}_2} (\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} (\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a_i - a_j|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} \\
\leq& \frac{C}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i-a_j|^2)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}} \int\limits_{[|x| \geq \lambda_i|a_i-a_j|]} (\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} = O((\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}}),
\end{aligned}$$

so $I_4 \leq I_4^1 + I_4^2 = O((\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}})$. Collecting terms the claim follows.

(ii) It is sufficient to consider the case $k = j$, since

$$\lambda_j\partial_{\lambda_j} \int \delta_i\delta_j^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} = \lambda_j\partial_{\lambda_j} \int \delta_i\delta_j^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}$$

First we deal with the case $\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_j}$. We have

$$-\lambda_j \int \delta_i^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}\partial_{\lambda_j}\delta_j = \frac{n-2\gamma}{2} \int (\frac{\lambda_i}{1+\lambda_i^2|x-a_i|^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} (\frac{\lambda_j}{1+\lambda_j^2|x-a_j|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} \frac{\lambda_j^2|x-a_j|^2 - 1}{\lambda_j^2|x-a_j|^2 + 1},$$

whence

$$-\lambda_j \int \delta_i^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}\partial_{\lambda_j}\delta_j = \frac{n-2\gamma}{2} \int (\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} \frac{\lambda_j^2|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a_i - a_j|^2 - 1}{\lambda_j^2|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a_i - a_j|^2 + 1} (\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a_i - a_j|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}.$$

Since $\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2}{2-n}} \sim \lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i - a_j|^2$ or $\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2}{2-n}} \sim \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}$, we may expand on

$$\mathcal{A} = \left[|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}| \leq \epsilon|a_i - a_j|\right] \cup \left[|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}| \leq \epsilon\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right]$$

for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a_i-a_j|^2)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}\frac{\lambda_j^2|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a_i-a_j|^2-1}{\lambda_j^2|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a_i-a_j|^2+1}\\
&=(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i-a_j|^2)^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}\frac{\lambda_j^2|a_i-a_j|^2-1}{\lambda_j^2|a_i-a_j|^2+1}\\
&+\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}\frac{\langle a_i-a_j,\lambda_j x\rangle}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i-a_j|^2)^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}}\frac{\lambda_j^2|a_i-a_j|^2-1}{\lambda_j^2|a_i-a_j|^2+1}\\
&+\frac{2\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i-a_j|^2)^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}}\frac{\langle a_i-a_j,\lambda_j x\rangle}{1+\lambda_j^2|a_i-a_j|^2}+\frac{O(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}|x|^2)}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i-a_j|^2)^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this we derive as before with $b_2=\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}c_{n,3}^{\gamma}$

$$-\lambda_j\int\delta_i^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}\partial_{\lambda_j}\delta_j=b_2\frac{\lambda_j^2|a_i-a_j|^2-1/\lambda_j^2|a_i-a_j|^2+1}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i-a_j|^2)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}+O((\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}}),$$

whence

$$-\lambda_j\int\delta_i^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}\partial_{\lambda_j}\delta_j=b_2\frac{\lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i-a_j|^2-\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j}}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i-a_j|^2)^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}}+O((\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}}).$$

We turn to the case $\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\geq\frac{1}{\lambda_j}$. We then have

$$-\lambda_j\int\delta_i^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}\partial_{\lambda_j}\delta_j=-\lambda_j\int\delta_i\partial_{\lambda_j}\delta_j^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
-\lambda_j\int\delta_i\partial_{\lambda_j}\delta_j^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}=&\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}\int(\frac{\lambda_i}{1+\lambda_i^2|x-a_i|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}\\
&(\frac{\lambda_j}{1+\lambda_j^2|x-a_j|^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}\frac{\lambda_j^2|x-a_j|^2-1}{\lambda_j^2|x-a_j|^2+1},
\end{aligned}$$

whence

$$-\lambda_j\int\delta_i\partial_{\lambda_j}\delta_j^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}=\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}\int\frac{r^2-1}{r^2+1}(\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}\frac{1}{(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_j}+a_j-a_i|^2)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}.$$

We may expand on $\mathcal{A}=\Big[|\frac{x}{\lambda_j}\leq\epsilon|a_j-a_i|\Big]\cup\Big[|\frac{x}{\lambda_j}|\leq\epsilon\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\Big]$ for $\epsilon>0$ sufficiently small

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_j}+a_j-a_i|^2)^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}\\
&=(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|a_j-a_i|^2)^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}+(2\gamma-n)\frac{\langle a_j-a_i,\lambda_i x\rangle+O(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}|x|^2)}{(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|a_j-a_i|^2)^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

As before we find with $b_2=\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}\int(\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}=\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}\int\frac{r^2-1}{r^2+1}(\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}$

$$-\lambda_j\int\delta_i\partial_{\lambda_j}\delta_j^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}=b_2\frac{1}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|a_j-a_i|^2)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}+O((\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j})^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}}).$$

Comparing the results in cases $\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\leq\frac{1}{\lambda_j}$ and $\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\leq\frac{1}{\lambda_i}$ the claim follows.

(iii) By symmetry we may assume $a_i = 0$, $a_j = a$ and $\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_j}$ and calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_a \int \delta_i^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} \delta_j =& \nabla_a \int (\frac{\lambda_i}{1+\lambda_i^2 r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} (\frac{\lambda_j}{1+\lambda_j^2|x+a|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} \\ =& (2\gamma - n) \int (\frac{\lambda_i}{1+\lambda_i^2 r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} (\frac{\lambda_j}{1+\lambda_j^2|x+a|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} \frac{\lambda_j^2(x+a)}{1+\lambda_j^2|x+a|^2} \\ =& (2\gamma - n) \int (\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} \frac{\lambda_i\lambda_j(\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a)}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a|^2))^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}}\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}} \sim \lambda_i\lambda_j|a|^2$ or $\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}} \sim \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}$ we may expand on

$$\mathcal{A} = [|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}| \leq \epsilon|a|] \cup [|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}| \leq \epsilon\frac{1}{\lambda_j}]$$

for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\lambda_i\lambda_j(\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a)}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a|^2))^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_i\lambda_j a}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|a|^2))^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}} - (n+2-2\gamma)\frac{\lambda_i\lambda_j a\lambda_j\langle x,a\rangle}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|a|^2)^{\frac{n+4-2\gamma}{2}}} \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda_j x}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|a|^2))^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}} + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_i\lambda_j}O(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}|x|^2)}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|a|^2))^{\frac{n+3-2\gamma}{2}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Using radial symmetry we obtain with $b_3 = (2\gamma - n)c_{n,3}^{\gamma}$

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_a \int \delta_i^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} \delta_j =& b_3 \frac{\lambda_i\lambda_j a}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|a|^2))^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}} + O((\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{\gamma}\sqrt{\lambda_i\lambda_j}\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n+1}{n-2\gamma}}) \\ &+ (2\gamma - n)\int_{\mathcal{A}^C} (\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} \frac{\lambda_i\lambda_j(\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a)}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a|^2)^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}}.\end{aligned}$$

In case $\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}} \sim \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}$ the last summand above is of order

$$O((\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{\gamma}\sqrt{\lambda_i\lambda_j}\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n+1}{n-2\gamma}}).$$

Thus we may assume $\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \ll \lambda_i\lambda_j|a|^2$. Letting $0 < \theta < \frac{1}{4}$ and

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \left[|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a| \leq \theta|a|\right] \subset\subset B^c_{\epsilon\lambda_i|a|}(0) = \mathcal{A}^c$$

we may expand on $\mathcal{B}_1$

$$(\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} = (\frac{1}{1+\lambda_i^2|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a-a|^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} = (\frac{1}{1+\lambda_i^2|a|^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} + \frac{O(\lambda_i^2|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a||a|)}{(1+\lambda_i^2|a|^2)^{\frac{n+2+2\gamma}{2}}}$$

and find using radial symmetry

$$\begin{aligned}|\int_{\mathcal{B}_1} (\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} \frac{\lambda_i\lambda_j(\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a)}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a|^2))^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}}| \leq& \frac{C}{(1+\lambda_i^2|a|^2)^{\frac{n+2+2\gamma}{2}}} \int_{\mathcal{B}_1} \frac{\lambda_i^3\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a|^2|a|}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a|^2)^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}} \\ \leq& \frac{C\lambda_i}{(1+\lambda_i^2|a|^2)^{\frac{n+1+2\gamma}{2}}} \int_{\mathcal{B}_1} \frac{1}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a|^2)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Rescaling this gives

$$\begin{aligned}|\int_{\mathcal{B}_1}(\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}&\frac{\lambda_i\lambda_j(\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a)}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a|^2))^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}}|\\ \leq&\frac{C\lambda_i(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}}{(1+\lambda_i^2|a|^2)^{\frac{n+1+2\gamma}{2}}}\int\limits_{[|\frac{x}{\lambda_j}+a|<\theta|a|]}\frac{1}{(1+\lambda_j^2|\frac{x}{\lambda_j}+a|^2)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}\\ \leq&\frac{C\sqrt{\lambda_i\lambda_j}(\lambda_j|a|)^{2\gamma}}{(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|a|^2)^{\frac{n+1+2\gamma}{2}}}=O((\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{\gamma}\sqrt{\lambda_i\lambda_j}\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n+1}{n-2\gamma}}).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover letting $\mathcal{B}_2=\mathcal{A}^c\setminus\mathcal{B}_1$ we may estimate

$$\begin{aligned}|\int_{\mathcal{B}_2}(\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}&\frac{\lambda_i\lambda_j(\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a)}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a|^2))^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}}|\\ &\leq\frac{C\sqrt{\lambda_i\lambda_j}}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|a|^2)^{\frac{n+1-2\gamma}{2}}}\int_{\mathcal{A}^c}(\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}=O((\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{\gamma}\sqrt{\lambda_i\lambda_j}\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n+1}{n-2\gamma}}).\end{aligned}$$

Collecting terms the claim follows.

(iv) By translation invariance and symmetry we may assume $a_i=0$, $a_j=a$ and $\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\leq\frac{1}{\lambda_j}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_a^2\int\delta_i^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}\delta_j=&(2\gamma-n)\int(\frac{\lambda_i}{1+\lambda_i^2r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}(\frac{\lambda_j}{1+\lambda_j^2|x+a|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}\\ &[\frac{\lambda_j^2\,id}{1+\lambda_j^2|x+a|^2}-(n+2-2\gamma)\frac{\lambda_j^4(x+a).(x+a)}{(1+\lambda_j^2|x+a|^2)^2}]\\ =&(2\gamma-n)\lambda_i\lambda_j\int\frac{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a|^2)\,id-(n+2-2\gamma)\lambda_i\lambda_j(\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a).(\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a)}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a|^2)^{\frac{n+4-2\gamma}{2}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}\sim\lambda_i\lambda_j|a|^2$ or $\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}\sim\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}$ we may expand on

$$\mathcal{A}=[|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}|\leq\epsilon|a|]\cup[|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}|\leq\epsilon\frac{1}{\lambda_j}]$$

for $\epsilon>0$ sufficiently small

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a|^2)\,id-(n+2-2\gamma)\lambda_i\lambda_j(\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a).(\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a)}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+a|^2)^{\frac{n+4-2\gamma}{2}}}\\ =&\frac{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|a|^2)\,id-(n+2-2\gamma)\lambda_i\lambda_ja.a+O(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}|x|^2)}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|a|^2)^{\frac{n+4-2\gamma}{2}}}\end{aligned}$$

up to some integrable anti-symmetric terms and thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_a^2\int\delta_i^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}\delta_j=&b_4\lambda_i\lambda_j\frac{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|a|^2)\,id-(n+2-2\gamma)\lambda_i\lambda_ja.a}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|a|^2)^{\frac{n+4-2\gamma}{2}}}\\ &+O((\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{\gamma}\lambda_i\lambda_j\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2\gamma}})+(2\gamma-n)\lambda_i\lambda_j\int_{\mathcal{A}^c}...,\end{aligned}$$

where $b_4 = (2\gamma - n)c^{\gamma}_{n,3}$ and

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}^c} ... = \int_{\mathcal{A}^c} \frac{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a|^2)\, id - (n+2-2\gamma)\lambda_i\lambda_j(\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a).(\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a)}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a|^2)^{\frac{n+4-2\gamma}{2}}}.$$

In case $\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}} \sim \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}$ the integral above is of order

$$O((\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2\gamma}}).$$

Thus we may assume $\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i} \leq \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \ll \lambda_i\lambda_j|a|^2$, i.e. for $0 < \theta < \frac{1}{4}$

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \left[|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a| \leq \theta|a|\right] \subset\subset B^c_{\epsilon\lambda_i|a|}(0) = \mathcal{A}^c.$$

On $\mathcal{B}_1$ we then may expand

$$\begin{aligned}(\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} =& (\frac{1}{1+\lambda_i^2|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a - a|^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} \\ =& (\frac{1}{1+\lambda_i^2|a|^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} + (n+2\gamma)\frac{\lambda_i^2\langle\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a, a\rangle + O(\lambda_i^2|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a|^2)}{(1+\lambda_i^2|a|^2)^{\frac{n+2+2\gamma}{2}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Using radial symmetry and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1 + r^2 - (n+2-2\gamma)x_k^2}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+4-2\gamma}{2}}} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

as an easy computation shows, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&|\int_{\mathcal{B}_1} \frac{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a|^2)\, id - (n+2-2\gamma)\lambda_i\lambda_j(\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a).(\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a)}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a|^2)^{\frac{n+4-2\gamma}{2}}}| \\ \leq& \frac{C}{(1+\lambda_i^2|a|^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}}\int_{\mathcal{B}_1^c} \frac{1}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a|^2)^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}} \\ &+ \frac{C\lambda_i^2}{(1+\lambda_i^2|a|^2)^{\frac{n+2+2\gamma}{2}}}\int_{\mathcal{B}_1} \frac{|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a|^2}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a|^2)^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}} \\ \leq& \frac{C(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{\frac{-n+2-2\gamma}{2}}}{(1+\lambda_i^2|a|^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}}\int_{[|x|>\theta\lambda_j|a|]} \frac{1}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}} \\ &+ \frac{C(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{-\frac{n+2+2\gamma}{2}}}{(1+\lambda_i^2|a|^2)^{\frac{n+2+2\gamma}{2}}}\int_{[|x|\leq\theta\lambda_j|a|]} \frac{r^2}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}} \\ \leq& \frac{C\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}}{(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|a|^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}}O(\frac{(\lambda_j|a|)^n}{(1+\lambda_j|a|)^{n+2-2\gamma}}) \\ &+ \frac{C}{(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|a|^2)^{\frac{n+2+2\gamma}{2}}}O(\frac{(\lambda_j|a|^{n+2})}{(1+\lambda_j|a|)^{n+2-2\gamma}}) = O((\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2\gamma}}).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover letting $\mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{A}^c \setminus \mathcal{B}_1$ we may estimate

$$\begin{aligned}&|\int_{\mathcal{B}_2} \frac{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a|^2)\, id - (n+2-2\gamma)\lambda_i\lambda_j(\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a).(\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a)}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{x}{\lambda_i} + a|^2)^{\frac{n+4-2\gamma}{2}}}| \\ \leq& \frac{C}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j})^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}}\int_{\mathcal{A}^c} \frac{1}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}} \leq \frac{C(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i} + \lambda_i|a|)^{-2\gamma}}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j})^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}} = O((\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2\gamma}}).\end{aligned}$$

Collecting terms the claim follows.

■

PROOF of lemma 5.4

(i) Let $\alpha' = \frac{n-2\gamma}{2}\alpha$, $\beta' = \frac{n-2\gamma}{2}\beta$, so $\alpha' + \beta' = n$. We distinguish the cases

(α) "$\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}} \sim \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \vee \varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}} \sim \lambda_i\lambda_j\gamma_n G^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i,a_j)$"

We estimate for $c>0$ small

$$\begin{aligned}\int v_i^\alpha v_j^\beta \leq & C\int\limits_{B_c(0)} (\frac{\lambda_i}{1+\lambda_i^2 r^2})^{\alpha'} (\frac{\lambda_j}{1+\lambda_j^2\gamma_n G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(\exp_{a_i} x)})^{\beta'} + C\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\alpha'}}\int\limits_{B_c(0)} (\frac{\lambda_j}{1+\lambda_j^2 r^2})^{\beta'} \\ \leq & C\int\limits_{B_{c\lambda_i}(0)} (\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\alpha'} (\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j\gamma_n G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(\exp_{a_i}\frac{x}{\lambda_i})})^{\beta'} + C\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\alpha'}}\frac{1}{\lambda_j^{n-\beta'}}\int\limits_{B_{c\lambda_j}(0)} (\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\beta'}\end{aligned}$$

up to some $O(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\alpha'}}\frac{1}{\lambda_j^{\beta'}})$. Thus by $\int_{B_{c\lambda_j}(0)}(\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\beta'} \leq C\frac{1}{\lambda_j^{2\beta'-n}}$ we get

$$\int v_i^\alpha v_j^\beta \leq C\int\limits_{B_{c\lambda_i}(0)} (\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\alpha'} (\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j\gamma_n G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(\exp_{a_i}\frac{x}{\lambda_i})})^{\beta'}$$

up to some $O(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\alpha'}}\frac{1}{\lambda_j^{\beta'}})$, whence the claim follows in cases

$$\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i} + \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j\gamma_n G^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i,a_j) \sim \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \text{ or } d(a_i,a_j) > 3c.$$

Else we may assume $d(a_i,a_j) < 3c$ and

$$\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i} + \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j\gamma_n G^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i,a_j) \sim \lambda_i\lambda_j d^2(a_i,a_j).$$

We then get with $\mathcal{B} = [\frac{1}{2}d(a_i,a_j) \leq |\frac{x}{\lambda_i}| \leq 2d(a_i,a_j)]$

$$\begin{aligned}\int v_i^\alpha v_j^\beta \leq & C\int_{\mathcal{B}} (\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\alpha'} (\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j d^2(a_j,\exp_{a_i}(\frac{x}{\lambda_i}))})^{\beta'} + O(\varepsilon_{i,j}^\beta) \\ \leq & C(\frac{1}{1+|\lambda_i d(a_i,a_j)|^2})^{\alpha'} \int\limits_{[|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}|\leq 4d(a_i,a_j)]} (\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}r^2})^{\beta'} + O(\varepsilon_{i,j}^\beta) \\ \leq & C\frac{(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{\beta'-n}}{(1+|\lambda_i d(a_i,a_j)|^2)^{\alpha'}} \int\limits_{[r\leq 4\lambda_j d(a_i,a_j)]} (\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\beta'} + O(\varepsilon_{i,j}^\beta).\end{aligned}$$

Note, that in case $\lambda_j d(a_i,a_j)$ remains bounded, we are done. Else

$$\begin{aligned}\int v_i^\alpha v_j^\beta \leq & C\frac{(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{\beta'-n}(\lambda_j d(a_i,a_j))^{n-2\beta'}}{(1+|\lambda_i d(a_i,a_j)|^2)^{\alpha'}} + O(\varepsilon_{i,j}^\beta) \\ \leq & C(\frac{1}{1+|\lambda_i d(a_i,a_j)|^2})^{\alpha'-\frac{n}{2}+\beta'}(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j})^{\beta'} + O(\varepsilon_{i,j}^\beta),\end{aligned}$$

whence due to $\alpha' > \frac{n}{2}$ the claim follows.

(β) "$\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}} \sim \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}$"

We estimate for $c>0$ small

$$\begin{aligned}\int v_i^\alpha v_j^\beta \leq & C\int_{B_{c\lambda_j}(0)} (\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}+\lambda_i\lambda_j\gamma_n G_{a_i}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(\exp_{a_j}(\frac{x}{\lambda_j}))})^{\alpha'}(\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\beta'}\\ &+C\frac{1}{\lambda_j^{\beta'}}\int_{B_c(0)}(\frac{\lambda_i}{1+\lambda_i^2r^2})^{\alpha'}+O(\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\alpha'}}\frac{1}{\lambda_j^{\beta'}}),\end{aligned}$$

which by $\int_{B_c(0)}(\frac{\lambda_i}{1+\lambda_i^2r^2})^{\alpha'} \leq C\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{n-\alpha'}} = C\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\beta'}}$ gives

$$\int v_i^\alpha v_j^\beta \leq C\int_{B_{c\lambda_j}(0)} (\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}+\lambda_i\lambda_j\gamma_n G_{a_i}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(\exp_{a_j}(\frac{x}{\lambda_j}))})^{\alpha'}(\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\beta'}$$

up to some $o(\varepsilon_{i,j}^\beta)$. Since by assumption $d(a_i,a_j)\leq\frac{2}{\lambda_i}$, there holds

$$\gamma_n G_{a_i}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(\exp_{a_j}(\frac{x}{\lambda_j})) \sim d^2(a_i,\exp_{a_j}(\frac{x}{\lambda_j})) \text{ on } B_{c\lambda_j}(0).$$

Thus for $\gamma>3$

$$\begin{aligned}\int v_i^\alpha v_j^\beta \leq & C\int\limits_{[\gamma\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}\leq|x|\leq c\lambda_j]} (\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}+\lambda_i\lambda_j d^2(a_i,\exp_{a_j}(\frac{x}{\lambda_j}))})^{\alpha'}(\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\beta'}\\ &+C(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j})^{\alpha'}\int_{[|x|<\gamma\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}]}(\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\beta'}+o(\varepsilon_{i,j}^\beta)\\ \leq & C\int_{[\gamma\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}\leq|x|\leq c\lambda_j]}(\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}+\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}r^2})^{\alpha'}(\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\beta'}+C(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j})^{\alpha'}(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{n-2\beta}+o(\varepsilon_{i,j}^\beta),\end{aligned}$$

since for $|x|\geq\gamma\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}$ we may due to $d(a_i,a_j)\leq\frac{2}{\lambda_i}$ assume $d(a_i,\exp_{a_j}(\frac{x}{\lambda_j}))\geq\frac{|x|}{\lambda_j}$.Therefore

$$\int v_i^\alpha v_j^\beta \leq C(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{\alpha'}\int_{[|x|\geq\gamma\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}]} r^{-2n}+O(\varepsilon_{i,j}^\beta)=O(\varepsilon_{i,j}^\beta).$$

(ii) By symmetry we may assume $\frac{1}{\lambda_i}\leq\frac{1}{\lambda_j}$ and thus

$$\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}} \sim \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \ \vee\ \varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}} \sim \lambda_i\lambda_j\gamma_n G^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i,a_j)$$

In case $d(a_i,a_j)>3\epsilon$ for some $\epsilon>0$ we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\int v_i^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}} v_j^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}} \leq & C\int_{B_\epsilon(0)}(\frac{\lambda_i}{1+\lambda_i^2r^2})^{\frac{n}{2}}(\frac{\lambda_j}{1+\lambda_j^2\gamma_n G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(\exp_{a_i}x)})^{\frac{n}{2}}\\ &+\frac{C_\epsilon}{\lambda_i^{\frac{n}{2}}}\int_{B_\epsilon(0)}(\frac{\lambda_j}{1+\lambda_j^2r^2})^{\frac{n}{2}}+C_\epsilon\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\frac{n}{2}}\lambda_j^{\frac{n}{2}}}\\ \leq & C\int_{B_{\epsilon\lambda_i}(0)}(\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n}{2}}(\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j\gamma_n G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(\exp_{a_i}(\frac{x}{\lambda_i}))})^{\frac{n}{2}}+C_\epsilon\ln\lambda_j\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}}\\ \leq & C_\epsilon\ln(\lambda_i\lambda_j)\epsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}} \leq C_\epsilon\ln\epsilon_{i,j}\epsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we assume, that $d(a_i,a_j)$ is arbitrarily small. Then for $d(a_i,a_j)\ll c\ll 1$ we estimate passing to normal coordinates around a_i

$$\int v_i^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}}v_j^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}}\leq C\int_{B_{c\lambda_i}(0)}(\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n}{2}}(\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j\gamma_n G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(\exp_{a_i}(\frac{x}{\lambda_i}))})^{\frac{n}{2}}=C\int_{B_{c\lambda_i}(0)}I$$

up to some terms of order $\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\frac{n}{2}}\lambda_j^{\frac{n}{2}}}=O(\epsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}})$. Decompose $B_{c\lambda_i}(0)$ into

(α) $\quad\mathcal{A}=[|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}|\leq\epsilon\sqrt{G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i)+\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2}}]$

(β) $\quad\mathcal{B}=[\epsilon\sqrt{G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i)+\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2}}<|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}|<E\sqrt{G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i)+\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2}}]$

(γ) $\quad\mathcal{C}=[E\sqrt{G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i)+\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2}}\leq|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}|<c]$

for some fixed $0<\epsilon\ll 1\ll E<\infty$. We then find

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}}I\leq C\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}}\int_{\mathcal{A}}(\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n}{2}}=O(\ln\epsilon_{i,j}\epsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{B}}I\leq&\frac{C}{(\frac{\lambda_i^2}{\lambda_j^2}+\lambda_i^2G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i))^{\frac{n}{2}}}\int_{\mathcal{B}}(\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j\gamma_nG_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(\exp_{a_i}(\frac{x}{\lambda_i}))})^{\frac{n}{2}}\\ \leq&C\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}}(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i})^{\frac{n}{2}}\int\limits_{[|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}|<\tilde{E}\sqrt{G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i)+\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2}}]}(\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}|x|^2})^{\frac{n}{2}}\\ =&C\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}}\int\limits_{[|x|<\lambda_j\tilde{E}\sqrt{G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i)+\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2}}]}(\frac{1}{1+|x|^2})^{\frac{n}{2}}=O(\ln\epsilon_{i,j}\epsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}})\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}}I\leq C\int_{\mathcal{C}}(\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n}{2}}(\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}|x|^2})^{\frac{n}{2}}\leq(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j})^{\frac{n}{2}}\int_{\mathcal{C}}(\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n}{2}}r^{-n}$$

$$\leq C(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j})^{\frac{n}{2}}\int\limits_{E\lambda^i\sqrt{G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i)+\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2}}}^{\infty}r^{-1+n}=O(\epsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{n}{n-2\gamma}}).$$

Collecting terms the assertion follows. ■

We turn now to the proof of the estimates (55),(57), (59) and (63)

Proof of the estimate (55)
First we notice, that due to corollary 4.6 and (49) we have

$$\varphi_k=(1+o_{\varepsilon_k}(1))(\frac{\lambda_k}{1+\lambda_k^2G_{a_k}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}$$

for any choice $\varepsilon_k \sim \lambda_k^{-\frac{1}{l}}$, whence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{i,j} =& \int_{B_{\varepsilon_i}(a_i)} (u_{a_i}\varphi_{a_i,\lambda_i})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} u_{a_j}\varphi_{a_j} dV_h \\
=& (1+o_{\frac{1}{\lambda_j}}(1)) \int\limits_{B_{\varepsilon_i\lambda_i}(0)} (\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} \frac{\frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}}(\exp_{g_{a_i}} \frac{x}{\lambda_i})}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(\exp_{g_{a_i}} \frac{x}{\lambda_i}))^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}
\end{aligned} \tag{71}
$$

up to some $o_{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}}((\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}})$, where $G_a = G_{h_a}^{\gamma}$. In case $d_{g_{a_j}}(a_i,a_j) > \sqrt{\varepsilon_i}$ we thus get

$$
\epsilon_{i,j} = (1+o_{\max(\frac{1}{\lambda_i},\frac{1}{\lambda_j})}(1))(\frac{1}{\lambda_i\lambda_j G_h^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i,a_j)})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}
$$

by conformal covariance of the Green's function, i.e.

$$
G_{a_j}(a_j,a_i) = u_{a_j}^{-1}(a_i)u_{a_j}^{-1}(a_j)G_h(a_i,a_j) \quad \text{recalling} \quad h_{a_j} = u_{a_j}^{\frac{4}{n-2\gamma}} h,
$$

where $G_h = G_h^{\gamma}$. Therefore (55) follows by symmetry in this case. Otherwise we may assume $d_{g_{a_j}}(a_i,a_j) \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_i}$ and passing to h_{a_i}-normal coordinates (71) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{i,j} =& (1+o_{\max(\frac{1}{\lambda_i},\frac{1}{\lambda_j})}(1)) \int_{B_{\varepsilon_i\lambda_i}(0)} (\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} (\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i\lambda_j |\exp_{g_{a_i}}^{-} a_j - \frac{x}{\lambda_i}|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} \\
=& (1+o_{\max(\frac{1}{\lambda_i},\frac{1}{\lambda_j})}(1)) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\frac{\lambda_i}{1+\lambda_i^2 r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} (\frac{\lambda_j}{1+\lambda_j^2 |\exp_{a_i}^{-} a_j - x|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

up to some $o_{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}}((\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}})$. Using $\exp_{g_{a_i}}^{-} a_j = (1+o_{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}}(1)) \exp_{g_{a_j}}^{-} a_i$ and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_i^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}} \delta_j = \frac{1}{c_{n,\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta)^{\gamma}\delta_i\delta_j = \frac{1}{c_{n,\gamma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_i (-\Delta)^{\gamma}\delta_j = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_i \delta_j^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}
$$

we thus find up to some $o_{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}}((\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}})$

$$
\epsilon_{i,j} = (1+o_{\max(\frac{1}{\lambda_i},\frac{1}{\lambda_j})}(1)) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\frac{\lambda_j}{1+\lambda_j^2 r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} (\frac{\lambda_i}{1+\lambda_i^2 |\exp_{g_{a_j}}^{-} a_i - x|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}.
$$

This shows $\epsilon_{i,j} = O(\varepsilon_{i,j})$, cf. lemma 5.1 (i), and calculating back we find

$$
\epsilon_{i,j} = (1+o_{\max(\frac{1}{\lambda_i},\frac{1}{\lambda_j})}(1))\epsilon_{j,i} + o_{\max(\frac{1}{\lambda_i},\frac{1}{\lambda_j})}((\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}).
$$

Thereby (55) follows. ■

Proof of the estimate (57)
Note, that due to corollary 4.3

$$
K_{g_{a_i}}(y,x,\xi) \leq C y^{2\gamma}((\frac{1}{y^2 + d_{g_{a_i}}^2(x,\xi)})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}} + 1)
$$

for $(y,x) \in B_{\rho_0}^{a_i,+}(a_i) = B_{\rho_0}^{g_{a_i},+}(a_i)$, whence

$$
|\overline{\frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}}\varphi_j}^{a_i}|(y,x) \leq C \int_M (\frac{y^{2\gamma}}{(y^2 + d_{g_{a_i}}^2(x,\xi))^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}} + y^{2\gamma})(\frac{\lambda_j}{1+\lambda_j^2 d_{g_{a_j}}^2(a_j,\xi)})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} dV_{g_{a_i}}
$$

and thus

$$|\overline{\frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}}\varphi_j}^{a_i}|(y,x) \leq \frac{C}{y^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}\int_M (\frac{y^{-1}}{1+y^{-2}d^2_{g_{a_i}}(x,\xi)})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}(\frac{\lambda_j}{1+\lambda_j^2 d^2_{g_{a_j}}(a_j,\xi)})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}dV_{g_{a_i}} + \frac{Cy^{2\gamma}}{\lambda_j^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}$$
$$\leq \frac{C}{y^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}\int\limits_{[d_{g_{a_i}}(x,\xi)\leq\rho_0]\cap[d_{g_{a_j}}(a_j,\xi)\leq\rho_0]} (\frac{y^{-1}}{1+y^{-2}d^2_{g_{a_i}}(x,\xi)})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}(\frac{\lambda_j}{1+\lambda_j^2 d^2_{g_{a_j}}(a_j,\xi)})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}dV_{g_{a_i}} + \frac{Cy^{2\gamma}}{\lambda_j^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}.$$

Since all the distances involved are comparable to d_g, the first summand above vanishes in case $d_g(x,a_j)\geq C\rho_0$ and the claim follows. Else we may assume $d_g(x,a_j)\leq C\rho_0$ and find passing to integration over $\mathbb{R}^n$ by standard interaction estimates as given in lemma 5.1

$$|\overline{\frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}}\varphi_j}^{a_i}|(y,x) \leq \frac{C}{y^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}(\frac{1}{\lambda_j y+\frac{1}{\lambda_j y}+\frac{\lambda_j}{y}d_g^2(a_j,x)})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} + \frac{Cy^{2\gamma}}{\lambda_j^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}$$
$$\leq C(\frac{\lambda_j}{1+\lambda_j^2|y^2+d_g^2(a_j,x)|})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} + \frac{C}{\lambda_j^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}.$$

■

Proof of the estimate (59)
Let us start showing $(B_r^{a,+}(a) = B_r^{g_a,+}(a))$

$$\int_{B^{a_i,+}_{2\varepsilon_i}(a_i)} y^{1-2\gamma}\widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i}\widetilde{\varphi_j}^{a_j} = o_{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}}(\varepsilon_{i,j}). \tag{72}$$

In case $d_g(a_i,a_j) > c \gg \varepsilon_i$ we have

$$\int_{B^{a}_i,+_{2\varepsilon_i}(a_i)} y^{1-2\gamma}\widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i}\widetilde{\varphi_j}^{a_j} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda_i^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}\int_{B^{a_i,+}_{2\varepsilon_i}(a_i)} y^{1-2\gamma}\widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i} = O(\frac{\varepsilon_i^2}{(\lambda_i\lambda_j)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}),$$

so (72) holds true in this case for any choice $\varepsilon_i \sim \lambda_i^{-\frac{1}{k}}$. Thus we may assume $d_g(a_i,a_j)\ll 1$ for the rest of the proof and moreover, that

$$\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}} \sim \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \quad \text{or} \quad \varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}} \sim \lambda_i\lambda_j G_h^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i,a_j).$$

Passing to g_{a_i}- normal Fermi-coordinates and rescaling we then have

$$\int_{B^{a_i,+}_{2\varepsilon_i}(a_i)} y^{1-2\gamma}\widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i}\widetilde{\varphi_j}^{a_j} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda_i^2}\int_{B^{a_i,+}_{2\varepsilon_i\lambda_i}(0)} \frac{y^{1-2\gamma}}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}(\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|\frac{y}{\lambda_i},a_j-a_i+\frac{x}{\lambda_i})|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}.$$

In particular (72) holds in case $\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}} \sim \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}$, so we may assume

$$\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}} \sim \lambda_i\lambda_j G_h^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i,a_j) \sim \lambda_i\lambda_j|a_i-a_j|^2.$$

We subdivide the region of integration, i.e. $B^+_{2\varepsilon_i\lambda_i} = B^+_{2\varepsilon_i\lambda_i}(0)$ in

(i) $\mathcal{B}_1 = [|\frac{z}{\lambda_i}| \leq \epsilon|a_i-a_j|]\cap B^+_{2\varepsilon_i\lambda_i}$

(ii) $\mathcal{B}_2 = [\epsilon|a_i-a_j| < |\frac{z}{\lambda_i}| \leq E|a_i-a_j|]\cap B^+_{2\varepsilon_i\lambda_i}$

(iii) $\mathcal{B}_1 = [|\frac{z}{\lambda_i}| > E|a_i-a_j|]\cap B^+_{2\varepsilon_i\lambda_i}$

for $z=(y,x)$ and suitable $0<\epsilon, E^{-1}\ll 1$ and obtain easily

$$\int_{B^{a_i,+}_{2\varepsilon_i}(a_i)} y^{1-2\gamma}\widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i}\widetilde{\varphi_j}^{a_j} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda_i^2}\int_{\mathcal{B}_2}\frac{y^{1-2\gamma}}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}(\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j|(\frac{y}{\lambda_i},a_j-a_i+\frac{x}{\lambda_i})|^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}$$

up to some $o_{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}}(\varepsilon_{i,j})$ for any choice $\varepsilon_i\sim\lambda_i^{-\frac{1}{k}}$. Note, that on $\mathcal{B}_2$ we have

$$\epsilon|a_i-a_j|<|\frac{z}{\lambda_i}|\leq 2\varepsilon_i,$$

so $|a_i-a_j|=O(\varepsilon_i)$ for $\mathcal{B}_2\neq\emptyset$. We then find up to some $o_{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}}(\varepsilon_{i,j})$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B^{a_i,+}_{2\varepsilon_i}(a_i)} y^{1-2\gamma}\widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i}\widetilde{\varphi_j}^{a_j} &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda_i^2(1+\lambda_i^2|a_i-a_j|^2)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}\int_{[|z|\leq C\lambda_i|a_i-a_j|]}\frac{y^{1-2\gamma}}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}|z|^2)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}\\ &\leq\frac{C(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}{\lambda_i^2(1+\lambda_i^2|a_i-a_j|^2)^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}\int_{[|z|\leq C\lambda_i|a_i-a_j|]} y^{1-2\gamma}|z|^{2\gamma-n}\leq C|a_i-a_j|^2\varepsilon_{i,j}=o_{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}}(\varepsilon_{i,j}),\end{aligned}$$

whence (72) holds again and thus in any case. We are left with proving

$$\int_{B^{a_i,+}_{2\varepsilon_i}(a_i)} y^{1-2\gamma}\lambda_i y(\widetilde{\varphi_i}^{a_i})^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{n-2\gamma}}\widetilde{\varphi_j}^{a_j}=o_{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}}(\varepsilon_{i,j}).$$

But this follows line by line as when showing (72). ■

Proof of the estimate (63)
We know

$$\epsilon_{i,j}=\int\limits_{B_{\varepsilon_i\lambda_i}(0)}\frac{\frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}}(\exp_{g_{a_i}}\frac{x}{\lambda_i})}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}}(\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(\exp_{g_{a_i}}\frac{x}{\lambda_i})})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}dV_{h_{a_i}}.$$

and have to show

$$\epsilon_{i,j}=c_{n,3}^{\gamma}\varepsilon_{i,j}(1+o_{\varepsilon_{i,j}}(1)).$$

Since $\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}\sim\lambda_i\lambda_j G_h^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i,a_j)$ or $\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}\sim\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}$, we may expand on

$$\mathcal{A}=\left(\left[|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}|\leq\epsilon\sqrt{G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2-n}}(a_i)}\right]\cup\left[|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}|\leq\epsilon\frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right]\right)\cap B_{\varepsilon_i\lambda_i}(0)\subseteq B_{\varepsilon_i\lambda_i}(0)$$

for $\epsilon>0$ small $\frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}}(\exp_{g_{a_i}}\frac{x}{\lambda_i})=u_{a_j}(a_i)+\nabla u_{a_j}(a_i)\frac{x}{\lambda_i}+O(|\frac{x}{\lambda_i}|^2)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(\exp_{g_{a_i}}\frac{x}{\lambda_i}))^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}\\ &=(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i))^{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}}+\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}\frac{\nabla G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i)\lambda_j x+O(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}|x|^2)}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i))^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\epsilon_{i,j}=\sum_{k=1}^5 I_k+o_{\frac{1}{\lambda_i}}(\varepsilon_{i,j})$ for

(i) $I_1=\frac{u_{a_j}(a_i)}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i))^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}\int_{\mathcal{A}}\frac{1}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}}$

(ii) $I_2 = \frac{1}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i))^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}} \int_{\mathcal{A}} \frac{\nabla u_{a_j}(a_i)\frac{x}{\lambda_i}}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}}$

(iii) $I_3 = \frac{\frac{2\gamma-n}{2}u_{a_j}(a_i)}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i))^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}} \int_{\mathcal{A}} \frac{\nabla G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i)\lambda_j x}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}}$

(iv) $I_4 = \frac{1}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i))^{\frac{n+2-2\gamma}{2}}} \int_{\mathcal{A}} \frac{O(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}|x|^2)}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}}$

(v) $I_5 = \int\limits_{\mathcal{A}^c} \frac{\frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}}(\exp_{g_{a_i}}\frac{x}{\lambda_i})}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}} (\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(\exp_{g_{a_i}}\frac{x}{\lambda_i})})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} dV_{h_{a_i}}.$

We then find with $c_{n,3}^{\gamma} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}$

$$I_1 = c_{n,3}^{\gamma}\frac{u_{a_j}(a_i)}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i))^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}}(1+o_{\varepsilon_{i,j}}(1))$$

whereas $I_2 = I_3 = 0$ by radial symmetry and $I_4 = o_{\varepsilon_{i,j}}(\varepsilon_{i,j})$. Moreover

$$I_5 = o_{\varepsilon_{i,j}}(\varepsilon_{i,j})$$

in case $\varepsilon_{i,j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}} \sim \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}$. Else we have $\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i} \leq \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \leq \lambda_i\lambda_j G_h^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i,a_j)$ and decompose

$$\mathcal{A}^c = B_{\varepsilon_i\lambda_i} \setminus \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2,$$

where for a sufficiently large constant $E > 0$

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = [\epsilon\sqrt{G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i)} \leq |\frac{x}{\lambda_i}| \leq E\sqrt{G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i)}] \text{ and } \mathcal{B}_2 = [E\sqrt{G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i)} \leq |\frac{x}{\lambda_i}| \leq \epsilon_i].$$

We may assume $G_{a_j}(a_i)^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}} \sim d_{g_{a_j}(a_j,a_i)}^2 \sim\ll 1$, since otherwise $I_5 = o_{\varepsilon_{i,j}}(\varepsilon_{i,j})$, and estimate

$$\begin{aligned} I_5^1 =& \int\limits_{\mathcal{B}_1} \frac{\frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}}(\exp_{g_{a_i}}\frac{x}{\lambda_i})}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}} dV_{h_{a_i}} (\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(\exp_{g_{a_i}}\frac{x}{\lambda_i})})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} \\ \leq& c\frac{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j})^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}}{(1+\lambda_i^2 G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i))^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}} \int\limits_{[|\frac{x}{\lambda_j}|\leq E\sqrt{G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i)}]} (\frac{1}{1+\lambda_j^2 G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(\exp_{g_{a_i}}\frac{x}{\lambda_j})})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Changing coordinates via $d_{i,j} = \exp_{g_{a_i}}^{-1}\exp_{g_{a_j}}$ we get

$$I_5^1 \leq \frac{C}{(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i}+\lambda_i\lambda_j G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i))^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}} \int\limits_{[|\frac{x}{\lambda_j}|\leq C\sqrt{G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i)}]} (\frac{1}{1+r^2})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}$$

and thus $I_4^1 = o_{\varepsilon_{i,j}}(\varepsilon_{i,j})$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} I_{5,2} =& \int\limits_{\mathcal{B}_2} \frac{\frac{u_{a_j}}{u_{a_i}}(\exp_{g_{a_i}}\frac{x}{\lambda_i})}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}} (\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(\exp_{g_{a_i}}\frac{x}{\lambda_i})})^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}} \\ \leq& \frac{C}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}+\lambda_i\lambda_j G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i))^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}} \int\limits_{[|x|\geq c\sqrt{\lambda_i^2 G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma-n}}(a_i)}]} \frac{1}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{n+2\gamma}{2}}} = o_{\varepsilon_{i,j}}(\varepsilon_{i,j}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $I_5 = I_5^1 + I_5^2 = o_{\varepsilon_{i,j}}(\varepsilon_{i,j})$. Collecting terms we get

$$\epsilon_{i,j} = c_{n,3}^{\gamma} \frac{u_{a_j}(a_i)}{(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \lambda_i \lambda_j G_{a_j}^{\frac{2}{2\gamma - n}}(a_i))^{\frac{n-2\gamma}{2}}} + o_{\varepsilon_{i,j}}(\varepsilon_{i,j}).$$

Using conformal covariance of the Green's function, i.e.

$$G_{a_j}(a_j, a_i) = u_{a_j}^{-1}(a_i) u_{a_j}^{-1}(a_j) G_h(a_i, a_j) \quad \text{recalling } G_{a_i} = G_{h_{a_i}}^{\gamma}, \quad h_{a_j} = u_{a_j}^{\frac{4}{n-2\gamma}} h,$$

we conclude $\epsilon_{i,j} = c_{n,3}^{\gamma} \varepsilon_{i,j}(1 + o_{\varepsilon_{i,j}}(1))$, what was to be shown.■

References

[1] Almaraz S. M, *An existence theorem of conformal scalar flat metrics on manifolds with boundary*, Pacific J. Math. 240 (2009), no. 1, 151-184.

[2] Aubin T., *Equations differentielles non lineaires et probleme de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 55 (1976), no. 3, 269-296.

[3] Aubin T., *Some nonlinear problems in Riemannian geometry*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1998.

[4] Bahri A. *Another proof of the Yamabe conjecture for locally conformally flat manifolds*, Nonlinear Anal. 20 (1993), no. 10, 1261-1278.

[5] Bahri A., Brezis H., *Non-linear elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds with the Sobolev critical exponent*, Topics in geometry, 1-100, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 20, Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1996.

[6] Bahri A., Coron J.M., *On a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent: the effect of the topology of the domain*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 41-3 (1988), 253-294.

[7] Brendle S., *Convergence of the Yamabe flow in dimension 6 and higher*, Inv. math, 170, 541-576 (2007).

[8] Di Nezza E, Palatucci G, Valdinoci E., *"Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sc. math. 136. 5 (20012), 521-573.

[9] Cabre X., Sire Y., *Non-linear equations for the fractional laplacins I: Regularity, maximun principles and Hamiltonian estimates*,

[10] Caffarelli L. A. Roquejoffre J. M. Savin O., *Nonlocal minimal surfaces*

[11] Caffarelli L., Silvestre L: *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. PDEs, , 32 (2007), 1245-1260.

[12] Caffarelli L. A., Souganidis P. E., *Convergence of nonlocal thresholds dynamics approximations to front propagation*,

[13] Caffarelli L A. Valdinoci E., *Regularity properties of nonloal minimal surfaces via limiting arguments*,

[14] Caffarelli L. A, Vasseur A., *Drift diffusion equations with fractional diffusion and the quasi-geostrophic equation*, .

[15] Chang A. Gonzalez M. M., *Fractional Laplacian in conformal geometry*, Advances in Mathematics, 226 (2011), 1410-1432.

[16] Chen S. S., *Conformal deformation to scalar flat metrics with constant mean curvature on the boundary in higher dimensions*, arXiv:0912.1302.

[17] Cherrier P., *Problemes de Neumann non lineaires sur les varietes riemanniennes. (French) [Nonlinear Neumann problems on Riemannian manifolds]*, J. Funct. Anal. 57 (1984), no. 2, 15-206.

[18] Escobar J. F., *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to a scalar flat metric with constant mean curvature on the boundary*. Ann. of Math. (2) 136 (1992), no. 1, 1-50.

[19] Fefferman C., Graham C,R., *Q-curvature and Poincaré metrics*, Mathematical Research Letters 9, 139-151(2002).

[20] Fabes E. B., Kening C. E., Serapioni R. P., *The local regularity of solutions to degenerate elliptic equations*, Comm. PDES, 7 (1) (1982) 77-116.

[21] Qing J. Raske D. *On positive solutions to semilinear conformally invariant equations on locally conformally flat manifolds*, Int. Math. Res. Not, 20, (2006), Art, ID94172.

[22] Fang Y, Gonzalez M., *Asymptotic behavior of Palais-Smale sequences associated with fractional Yamabe-type equations*, Pacific J Math 278 (2015), no 2 369-405.,

[23] Graham C,R.,Zworsky M., *Scattering matrix in conformal geometry*. Invent math. 152,89-118(2003).

[24] Gamara, N., *The CR Yamabe conjecture-the case* $n = 1$, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 3 (2001), no 2, 105-137.

[25] Gammara N., Yacoub R., *CR Yamabe conjecture-the conformally flat case*, Pacific J. Math. 201 (2001), no. 1, 121-175.

[26] Gilbar D., Trudinger N., *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, 2nd edition, Springr-Verlag, 1983.

[27] Günther M., *Conformal normal coordinates*, Ann. Global. Anal. Geom, 11 (1993), 173-184.

[28] Guillarmou C, *Meromorphic properties of the resolvent on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*, Duke. Math. J. 129, (1)(2005), 1-37.

[29] Gonzalez M. M. Mazzeo R., Sire Y., *Singular solutions of fractional order Laplacians*

[30] Gonzalez M. M., Qing J., *Fractional conformal Laplacians nd Fractional Yamabe problems*, Analysis and PDE, vlo6, no 7, 2013.

[31] Gonzalez M. M. Wang Meng., *Further results on the fractional Yamabe problem: the umbilic case*, preprint, arXiv: 1503.02862v1[math.Ap].

[32] Joshi. M., Sá Barreto. A., *Inverse scattering on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*, Acta Math. 184, 41-86 (2000)

[33] Kim S., Musso M., Wei J., *A non-compactness result on the fractional Yamabe problem in large dimensions*,

[34] Kim S., Musso M., Wei J *Existence theorems of the fractional Yamabe problem*, Preprint arXiv:1603.06617.

[35] Lee J., Parker T., *The Yamabe problem*, Bull. A.M.S. 17 (1987),37-81.

[36] Marques F. C., *Existence results for the Yamabe problem on manifolds with boundary*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. (2005), 1599-1620.

[37] Mayer M. Ndiaye C. B., *Proof of the remaining cases of the boundary Yamabe problem*, submitted.

[38] Mayer M., Ndiaye C. B., *Barycenter technique and the Riemann mapping problem of Escobar*, submitted.

[39] Mazzeo R., *The Hodge cohomology of a conformally compact metric*, J. Diff. Geom. 28 (1988) 309-339.

[40] Mazzeo R., *Unique continuation at infinity and embedded eigenvalues for asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*, Am. Journal. Math, 113, 25-45 (1991). J. Diff. Geom. 28 (1988) 309-339.

[41] Mazzeo R. R., Melroze R. B., *Meromorphic extension of the resolvant on complete spaces with asymptotically constant negative curvature*, J. Funct. Anal, 75,82) (1987), 260-310.

[42] Ould Ahmedou M ., *Conformal deformations of Riemannian metrics via "critical point theory at infinity'": the conformally flat case with umbilic boundary.* (English summary) Noncompact problems at the intersection of geometry, analysis, and topology, 1-17, Contemp. Math., 350, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.

[43] Schoen R., *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature*, J. Differential Geom. 20 (1984), no. 2, 479-495.

[44] Schoen R. Yau S. T. *Conformally flat manifolds, Kleinian groups and scalar curvature*, Inv. Math

[45] Stein E.M., Weiss G., *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton, New Jersey, Princeton University Press.

[46] P. Zamboni *Hölder Continuity for Solutions of Linear Degenerate Elliptic Equations Under Minimal Assumptions* Journal of Differential Equations 182, 121-140 (2002)